

Institute Study by Lapchick Looks at APR Rates and Graduation Rates for 2005 06 Bowl-Bound Teams Significant Gap Between African-American and White Student-athletes remains

ORLANDO, FL...December 5, 2005 – Of the 56 Division I-A football teams selected to participate in the upcoming bowl games, 23 teams or 41 percent of the total did not receive a score of more than 925 on the NCAA's Academic Progress Rate (APR) reported on August 22, 2005, according to a study released today by the Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport at the University of Central Florida. In also examining graduation rates for the same teams, 27 schools or 49 percent did not have a 50 percent graduation rate for their football teams. The NCAA created the APR in 2004. It was an integral piece of the extensive academic reform package developed to more accurately measure student-athletes' success in the classroom and increase the graduation rates at member institutions.

Richard Lapchick, who authored the study as director of the Institute, also is Eminent Scholar Chair of the DeVos Sport Business Management Graduate Program at the UCF. Lapchick said that "We still have the lingering and profound problem of too many of our student-athletes not getting on track to complete their education. This is the first time we have used the APR as a guide for the annual study of the academic progress of the bowl-bound teams. With 41 percent not achieving the NCAA's cut score and 49 percent having a graduation rate below 50 percent, it shows we still have a long way to go."

A key feature of the APR holds each team accountable for the success of student-athletes in the classroom and the progression they make towards graduation. Beginning in the 2005 – 06 academic year, individual teams will be subject to scholarship penalties if they receive an APR score of less than 925 and if a student-athlete leaves the institution in poor academic standing. The APR score of 925 was established as the "cut" score because it represents an expected graduation rate of 50 percent of student-athletes. Therefore, 23 schools (41 percent) of bowl-bound teams are not expected to graduate at least 50 percent of their student-athletes.

Lapchick went on that "these new reforms are a critical move by the NCAA because they allow the NCAA to penalize schools that do not meet the 50 percent graduation rate standard while rewarding those that deliver the promise of education to student-athletes. Those 33 bowl-bound schools that met the cut score have delivered. We should all wait and see what the updated APR related due in 2006 show. They have been submitted to the NCAA and will show how the schools are doing with some time to adjust. That will be an even more important test."

Up to this point, the studies of the bowl-bound teams by the Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport have been based on graduation rates. The data for graduation rates supplied by the NCAA was of previous year's teams and student-athletes who transferred out of the school in good academic standing or turned pro early counted against the school. Junior college transfers who came and graduated did not count for the school. The APR had corrected those measures. Lapchick warned, however, that looking at APR rates alone leaves out a critical category. "Each year the most disturbing point of the graduation rate study was the disparity between the graduation rates between African-American and white football student-athletes. The APR statistics do not account for that. If we look at the graduation rates, 37 or 67 percent of the bowl-bound schools graduated less than half of their African-American football student-athletes, a disparity that has persisted for years.

Lapchick said, "Race remains a persistent academic issue, reflected in the continuing gap between graduation rates for white and African-American student-athletes. The significant gap between rates for white and African-American football players has to continue to receive scrutiny. Solely examining the APR rates does not provide this window which is why we continue to look at graduation rates in spite of their limitations."

"Overall at the 117 Division 1A schools, 63 percent of white football student-athletes graduated versus only 47 percent of African-American football student-athletes. However, it must be noted that both African-American and white football players graduate at a higher rate than their male non-athletic peers in the student body. The graduation rate for African-American male students as a whole is only 40 percent, in comparison to the 61 percent graduation rate for white male students – this gap remains scandalous at 21 percent."

Lapchick maintained, "One of the benefits of examining graduation rates is that it focuses attention on the fact that too many of our predominantly white campuses are not welcoming places for students of color, regardless of whether they are athletes."

Among the bowl-bound teams, the following results were found:

Of the bowl-bound schools, 16 (29 percent) had graduation rates of 66 percent or higher for white football student-athletes, which was more than 2.5 times the number of schools with equivalent graduation rates for African-American football student-athletes (six schools or 11 percent).

Looking at schools with graduation rates of 50 percent or higher, nearly 2.5 times as many met this benchmark for white football student-athletes (47 schools or 85 percent) than for African-American football student-athletes (19 schools or 35 percent).

More than three times as many schools (23 schools or 42 percent) graduated 40 percent or less of their African-American football student-athletes, while 7 schools graduated 40 percent or less of their white football student-athletes.

Additional findings include the following:

10 schools (18 percent) had graduation rates for African-American football student-athletes that were at least 30 percent lower than the rates for white football student-athletes.

28 schools (51 percent) had graduation rates for African-American football student-athletes that were at least 20 percent lower than the rates for white football student-athletes.

Only 10 schools had graduation rates for African-American football student-athletes that exceeded the rates for white football student-athletes: South Florida (21 percent higher); Rutgers (12 percent higher); Houston and Tulsa (each 6 percent higher); Northwestern (5 percent higher); Fresno State, Nevada, and UTEP (each four percent higher); Southern Miss (3 percent higher); and BYU (2 percent higher).

While there were teams that scored poorly, some schools were notable for their high football studentathlete graduation rates: 14 schools had graduation rates for football players that were better than for overall students, and six schools had graduation rates for football players that were better than for overall student-athletes.

Lapchick noted, "If there were a national championship for graduation rates among bowl teams, Northwestern and Boston College would have played for the National Championship. Both teams graduated at least 78 percent of all football student-athletes and at least 74 percent of African-American football student-athletes. If there were a national championship game based on APR rates, Navy and Rutgers would have played. *Note: Navy does not report graduation rates for student-athletes and, therefore, percentages calculated were based on the 55 teams that had reported graduation rates.*

Three conferences distinguished themselves from all of the Division I-A football conferences represented in the APR study. Two conferences, the Atlantic Coast Conference and the Big East Conference, had every one of its bowl-bound member institutions, 8 and 4 respectively, receive an APR score greater than 925. Of the top ten APR schools who are bowl-bound, these two conferences collectively represented 5 teams (Rutgers, Boston College, University of Virginia, University of South Florida, and University of Louisville).

Among the bowl-eligible teams, the following results were found:

Of the 23 bowl-bound teams that received an APR score less than 925, 14 (61 percent) were members of BCS conferences.

All 8 members of the Atlantic Coast Conference and all 4 members of the Big East Conference were in the top 25 of APR bowl-bound school rankings.

The Pacific-10 Conference on the other hand, had 5 schools chosen for bowl games, all of which received an APR score less than 925.

NCAA statistics were used in the study. The Institute reviewed data collected from member institutions for the academic years of 2003 – 04 and 2004 – 05. *Please note: The NCAA will begin to use data from a four-class average in the Fall of 2007 to calculate the APR.* NCAA statistics were used for the graduation rate study. The Institute reviewed 1997-98 graduation (six-year) rates, with a four-class average (freshmen classes of 1994-95, 1995-96, 1996-97 and 1997-98). *Please note: The Institute believes that the way graduation rates are currently compiled unfairly penalizes a school when a student-athlete transfers in good standing and receives a degree at another institution. We support the NCAA's current initiative to redefine how graduation rates are calculated.*

The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport serves as a comprehensive resource for issues related to gender and race in amateur, collegiate and professional sports. The Institute researches and publishes a variety of studies, including annual studies of student-athlete graduation rates and racial attitudes in sports, as well as the nationally recognized Racial and Gender Report Card, an assessment of hiring practices in coaching and sport management. Additionally, the Institute conducts diversity management training in conjunction with the National Consortium for Academics and Sport. The Institute also will monitor some of the critical ethical issues in college and professional sport, including the potential for the exploitation of student-athletes, gambling, performance-enhancing drugs and violence in sport.

The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport is part of the DeVos Sport Business Management Graduate Program in the University of Central Florida's College of Business Administration. This landmark program focuses on business skills necessary for graduates to conduct successful careers in the rapidly changing and dynamic sports industry while also emphasizing diversity, community service and sport and social issues.

APPENDIX

		Graduation Rates (%)										
		African-										
						rican		nite				i l
		APR		Football Student-		tball =		tball dent-		Overall Student-		Overall
Bowl Name	Participants	Score		athlete		lete		lete		Athlete		Student
	. artioipanto				u	1010				7		
The Rose Bowl	USC	910+		58	- 5	2	F	35		62		76
Game	333	0101				· <u>-</u>		,,,				<u> </u>
Presented by	_					_						1
Citi	Texas	934		34	3	3	3	36		57		70
F. IF. 0	D 01.1						_					
FedEx Orange	Penn State	928		74		2		76 74		80		81
Bowl	Florida State	948		49	4	.3		7 1		60		62
Nokio Sugar	Most Minsisis	020		46		2	,			EG		E E
Nokia Sugar Bowl	West Virginia	938		46		3		56 72		56		55
	Georgia	930		53	4	.0		73		58		69
Tostitos Fiesta	Notre Dame	024		77	-	'6		76		07		94
Bowl		934		77 52		6		76 22		87		
	Ohio State	892		52	4	3	(3		63		58
Capital One	Wisconsin	916+		56	1	·5	6	 35		71		76
Bowl	Auburn	963		48		0		74		54		67
	Aubum	903		40	-	.0	'	7		J 4		07
Toyota Gator	Louisville	950		35	2	27	-	53		45		33
Bowl	Virginia Tech	944		58		64		64		68		73
	Virginia recir	344		- 30		· 		77		- 00		73
	lowa	949		58	3	88	6	64		69		64
Outback Bowl	Florida	942		42		66		56		55		73
	Tionaa	0 12			Ŭ							- ' -
AT&T Cotton	Texas Tech	919+		60	5	50	7	73		56		51
Bowl	Alabama	886		49		5		33		56		61
						_						
EV1.net	TCU	945		63	6	3	6	35		64		64
Houston Bowl	Iowa State	938		51		6		33		59		64
AutoZone	Tulsa	943		60	6	55	5	59		68		58
Liberty Bowl	Fresno State	939		40		-3		39		42		43
Meineke Car	South Florida	965		58		' 1	5	50		54		47
Care Bowl	NC State	935		42	3	6	5	54		56		62
Chick-fil-A	Miami	946		57	5	6	5	57		57		65
Peach Bowl	Louisiana	0221		40		5	,	21		E E		EE
	State	923+		42	3	55	(61		55		55
	South											
Independence Bowl	Carolina	924+		54	5	2	5	57		56	-	59
	Missouri	907+		44		9		50		63		64
Vitalis Sun Bowl	Northwestern	971		83	9	0		35		87	_	92
	UCLA	890		55	4	7	6	66		61		83
			أكس		·			-	. کست			

				Grad	duation Rates	s (%)				
Bowl Name	Participants	APR Score	Football Student- athlete	African- American Football Student- athlete	White Football Student- athlete	Overall Student- Athlete	Overall Student			
0 1 111 1	N. 00	200	- 44	07		- 50				
Gaylord Hotels Music City Bowl	Minnesota Virginia	923+ 972	41 75	27 63	60 93	58 82	52 92			
muoio oity 20111	virginia	912	75	03	93	02	92			
Pacific Life	Oregon	893	68	52	79	67	59			
Holiday Bowl	Oklahoma	929	40	35	48	53	52			
Emerald Baud	Georgia	042	40	42	62	5 0	60			
Emerald Bowl	Tech Utah	942 929	49 41	43 31	63 38	58 56	68 51			
	Otan	323	71	31	30	30	31			
MasterCard	Michigan	949	57	47	70	74	84			
Alamo Bowl	Nebraska	929	63	48	70	59	54			
MPC Computers	Boise State	922+	54	50	61	58	26			
Bowl	Boston	070	70	74	90	04	07			
	College	978	78	74	82	81	87			
Insight Bowl	Arizona State	887	44	34	59	56	50			
	Rutgers	980	49	56	44	67	73			
							_			
Champs Sports	Clemson	942	51	35	77	59	71			
Bowl	Colorado	936	43	29	60	53	66			
Motor City Bowl	Memphis	960	40	29	59	45	33			
	Akron	923+	50	28	66	52	38			
Sheraton Hawaii	Nevedo	892	40	40	36	47	40			
Bowl	Nevada UCF	880	40 34	26	53	47 46	48 51			
Bowi	OCF	- 880	34	20	33	40	- 31			
	Kansas	899	46	34	64	64	57			
Fort Worth Bowl	Houston	893	38	41	35	44	37			
		-								
San Diego	Colorado	65.		6.5						
County Credit Union	Sate	934	50	32	63	61	63			
Poinsettia Bowl	Navy	992	-	-	-	0	85			
Pioneer	BYU	920+	19	22	20	54	72			
PureVision Las Vegas Bowl	California	924+	48	42	59	66	84			
. 0940 20111	Jamorria	OZ TI	10	12	- 55	- 55	<u> </u>			
GMAC Bowl	UTEP	921+	34	38	34	38	25			
	Toledo	864	62	56	77	57	39			
New Orleans	Southern	067	66	67	64	60	F.0			
New Orleans Bowl	Miss Arkansas	967	66	67	64	60	53			
	State	912+	38	29	54	47	35			