Media Contact: Jessica Bartter 407.823.4884, jbartter@bus.ucf.edu

# The 2009 Racial and Gender Report Card: College Sport

by Richard Lapchick with Alejandra Diaz Calderon, Charles Harless and Ashley Turner Published March 11, 2010

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Orlando, FL... March 11, 2010** – The 2008 Racial and Gender Report Card for College Sport showed that NCAA member institutions and their conferences lost ground for both their record for gender hiring practices and hiring practices by race. In fact, college sport had the lowest grade for racial hiring practices in 2008.

Every other year, the NCAA releases a new NCAA Race and Gender Demographics of NCAA Member Conferences Personnel Report and NCAA Race and Gender Demographics of NCAA Member Institutions Athletic Personnel. In previous years, these reports were used to examine the racial and gender demographics of NCAA head and assistant coaches, athletics directors across all divisions, associate and assistant athletics directors, senior woman administrators, academic advisors, compliance coordinators and managers for business development, fund-raising, facilities, marketing, ticket sales and media relations and an array of assistants and support staff. This year represented the in-between year in terms of the NCAA releasing racial and gender demographic data via these reports. Lacking these NCAA-issued reports, The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sports (TIDES) was unable to issue College Sport a new overall grade for 2009.

As was the case two years ago in 2007, this report card featured updates only to the sections regarding racial and gender demographics of officials at the NCAA Headquarters, the university presidents, athletic directors, head football coaches, football coordinators and faculty athletic representatives at the 120 institutions in the Division I Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS), conference commissioners, and NCAA student-athletes. The data utilized to update these sections was culled from several sources, including the NCAA-issued 2007-2008 NCAA Student-athlete Ethnicity Report, the 2009-2010 Division I Campus Leadership Study published by TIDES in November 2009, self-reported demographic data on NCAA Headquarters personnel for the fiscal year 2008-2009, and information contained in previous studies by TIDES. The text of the 2008 report card is also included at the end of this report for the categories that did not have the necessary data to receive an update on racial and gender demographics. In all cases

regarding employment in college athletics, the data reported throughout the 2009 Racial and Gender Report Card excludes Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU's).

Tables for the Report are included in Appendix I.

The commitment to fostering opportunities for women and people of color at the collegiate level was evidenced the last several years under the leadership of the late NCAA president Myles Brand. Brand hired Charlotte Westerhaus in 2005 to be the NCAA Vice-President for Diversity and Inclusion. The creation of the Office of Diversity and Inclusion and the diversity programs it created reflect this dedication. In terms of expanding opportunities in sport for women and people of color, the greatest prospects exist in college sport rather than at the professional sport level because of the sheer number of jobs available. However, the record shows there is still significant room for improvement.

The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport (TIDES) at the University of Central Florida publishes the *Racial and Gender Report Card* to indicate areas of improvement, stagnation and regression in the racial and gender composition of professional and college sports personnel and to contribute to the improvement of integration in front office and college athletics department positions.

It is imperative that sports teams play the best athletes they have available to win games. TIDES strives to emphasize the value of diversity to athletic departments when they choose their team on the court and in the department. Diversity initiatives such as diversity management training can help change attitudes and increase the applicant pool for open positions. It is clearly the choice of the institution regarding which applicant is the best fit for their department, but TIDES wants to illustrate how important it is to have a diverse organization involving individuals who happen to be of a different race or gender. This element of diversity can provide a different perspective, and possibly a competitive advantage for a win in the board room as well as on the athletic fields of play.

## **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS FOR 2009**

# **University Leadership Positions at Football Bowl Subdivision Institutions**

- Positive gains were made by women and African-Americans in the role of university president at the 120 FBS Institutions. There are 22 female presidents, an increase of 0.8 percentage points, and four African-Americans, also representing a 0.8 percentage point increase.
- There was a noticeable decline in the number of Latino presidents, as representation fell 1.7 percentage points. 93.3 percent of FBS university presidents were white, an increase of 0.8 percentage points from last year's study. There was one Asian president (0.8 percent) but there were no Native American university presidents.
- The level of diversity within the athletic director position at FBS schools has stayed constant from last year's study, as 16 (13.3 percent) people of color hold this position. However, this total does not include any women of color.
- With the addition of four new African-American head coaches at FBS schools (Ron English, Eastern Michigan University; Mike Haywood, Miami University (Ohio); Mike Locksley, University of New Mexico; DeWayne Walker, New Mexico State University), the overall number of African-American head coaches from 2008 increased from six to seven.
- The nine coaches of color in the 2009 season represented the highest number and percentage of coaches of color ever at FBS schools.
- There were six African-American coaches hired after the completion of the 2009 season, which brings the total number of coaches of color at FBS institutions to a record 15 in 2010. This included coaches in the ACC, SEC and Big East.
- Of the 268 offensive and defensive coordinators in the FBS, there was one less African-American coordinator from last year's total of 31 while there was an increase of one coordinator in both the Latino and Asian demographics, respectively.
- According to the *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 9.4 percent of full-time faculty members at FBS schools are Asian, which is more than the combined percentage of African-American, Latino, and Native Americans (7.7 percent).

## **NCAA Headquarters**

- At the high levels of NCAA headquarters in the EVP/SVP/VP positions, the number of people of color and women remained at three and four, respectively. African-Americans are the only people of color to be represented at this level, accounting for 16.7 percent of the positions. Women held 22.2 percent of the positions.
- The percentages increased for people of color who hold posts at the managing director/director level. People of color increased by 0.8 percentage points to 24.3 percent, which represents the largest total percentage of racial minorities to occupy these posts since data was first recorded in 1998. The highest

percentage gain from last year to this year was seen in the Latino demographic, as Latinos held 4.3 percent of positions at this level, up 1.4 percentage points. Women held 41.4 percent of these positions, an increase of 0.3 percentage points.

- At the NCAA administrator level, the percentage of people of color dropped 1.1 percentage points from last year even though there was no net loss in total number of minorities holding these positions. The number of white administrators increased by 10, thus causing the shift in percentages. Women held 53.2 percent at the NCAA administrator level, down by 1.7 percentage points.
- 95 percent of support staff positions are occupied by women, an increase of 0.4 percentage points from last year and leading to the highest percentage ever of women in this role. Nineteen percent were held by people of color.

#### **Conference Commissioners**

- Once again, 100 percent of the 11 Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS), formerly known as Division IA, conference commissioners were white men.
- In all of Division I, excluding the Historically Black conferences, all 30 (100 percent) of Division I conference commissioners were white. Five were led by white women, accounting for 16.7 percent of the commissioners, and representing the highest total number and percentage of women in this role since recorded in 2003.
- Six women led sport-specific conferences and there were 17 women occupying associate commissioner roles at the FBS level.

#### Student-athletes

- •In Division I football, African-Americans account for 46.4 percent of the athletes and whites hold 46.6 percent, indicating the levels of participation between these two races are as close to equal as they have ever been for a given year since this data was first recorded.
- •In Division I basketball, African-Americans account for 60.4 percent of the athletes and whites hold 32.6 percent, representing the highest percentage of whites since 1999-2000.
- African-American female student-athletes accounted for 50.1 percent of the Division I basketball participants, representing a 2.7 percentage point increase from last year and the highest percentage of African-Americans in the sport ever.
- In Division I softball, Latinas saw a 0.4 percentage point increase to 7.2 percent, continuing the trend of increased participation by this demographic in every year since 2001-02.
- People of color had 21.5 percent of the softball positions while having only 15.6 percent of the men's baseball positions.
- The percentage of white male athletes in all Division I stands at 64.3 percent, which is an increase of 0.1 percentage points. 25.0 percent of all Division I male athletes are African-American, representing an increase of 0.3 percentage points from last year's total.

- The percentage of white male student-athletes at the Division I, II and III combined were 72.2 percent, 18.5 percent for African-American males, 4.2 percent for Latinos, 1.5 percent for Asian men and 0.4 percent for American Indian/Alaskan Natives males.
- •The percentage of white female student-athletes at the Division I, II and III combined were 78.9 percent, 11.3 percent for African-American females, 3.8 percent for Latinas, 2.0 percent for Asian females and 0.4 percent for American Indian/Alaskan Native females.
- African-American, Latina and Asian representation in all Division I women's sports all achieved or maintained record levels of participation.
- •The new category designations of Two or More Races and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander slightly shifted the participation statistics across all divisions for student-athletes.

## **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS FROM 2008**

## Coaching

- Whites dominate the head coaching ranks on men's teams holding 89.2 percent, 88.7 percent and 92.5 percent of all head coaching positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.
- African-Americans held 7.2 percent, 5.3 percent and 4.0 percent of the men's head coaching positions in the three NCAA divisions, respectively.
- Likewise on the women's teams, whites held 87.7 percent, 88.9 percent and 91.9 percent of all head coaching positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.
- African-Americans held 7.0 percent, 5.1 percent, and 4.4 percent of the women's head coaching positions in the three NCAA divisions, respectively.
- In men's Division I basketball, 22.9 percent of all head coaches were African-American, which was down 2.3 percent from the all-time high percentage of 25.2 in 2005-06.
- Only 4.1 percent of Division I head baseball coaches were people of color, with 2.2 percent Latino and only and 0.4 percent African-American.
- In fact, African-Americans were so underrepresented as head coaches, that once again, the percent of women coaching men's teams actually exceeded that of African-Americans in Division III (4.8 percent versus 4.0 percent.) In Division II, the percentage of women coaching men's teams was similarly low to the percentage of African-Americans (3.7 percent versus 5.3 percent). In Division I, women coaching men's teams outnumbered Latinos, Asians and Native Americans combined (2.8 percent vs. 2.6 percent).
- More than three-and-a-half decades after the passage of Title IX, women coaching women's teams still do not represent the majority of coaches in the women's game. In addition, this year's numbers show no progress in women coaching women's sports in most sports. Women head coaches in Division I basketball stayed virtually the same (64.7 percent in 2007-08 and 64.3 percent in 2005-06). Head

coaches of Division I Track/Cross Country, which combines the head coaches of Cross Country, Indoor Track and Outdoor Track, saw a slight decrease in female head coaches from 20.8 percent in 2005-06 to 20.2 percent in 2007-08. In all other sports, men led 57.4 percent of the women's teams while women were head coaches in only 42.1 percent of the programs.

- African-American women held 10.7 percent and African-American men held 2.9 percent of women's head coaching positions in Division I basketball for a combined percentage of 13.6 percent (up from 12.1 percent). Nonetheless, the 13.6 percent stood in stark contrast to the 47.4 percent of the student-athletes playing women's basketball who were African-American. The disparity is what compelled the BCA to initiate a women's basketball hiring report card for 2007-08.
- In 2007-08, there was some improvement for male people of color as assistants on men's teams. However, the percentage of women assistants on women's teams declined in all three Divisions.
- On the men's teams in 2007-08, whites held 76.9, 79.0, and 88.1 percent of the assistant coaching positions in the three divisions, respectively, which was slightly improved from 79.2, 82.7, and 88.5 percent respectively in 2006. In 2007-08, African-Americans held 17.7, 14.2, and 8.3 percent, respectively. The only African-American improvement was in Division II, which was at 11.6 percent in 2006.
- Among the women's teams in 2007-08, whites held 79.1, 81.2, and 88.8 percent of the assistant coaching positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively, which was a decline from 81.6, 82.4 and 90.5 percent respectively in 2005-06. In 2007-08 African-Americans had 13.5, 10.3, and 6.4 percent respectively. In 2005-06 African-American held 13.2, 9.4 and 6.5 percent of the assistant coaching jobs on women's teams in the respective Divisions.
- The percentage of women assistants on women's teams declined in all three Divisions as they tumbled from slightly more than 50 percent in each to less than 50 percent in each Division. As assistants, women in 2007-08 held 49.4 of the positions in Division I, 48.9 in Division II and 49.5 percent in Division III. This was down from 2005-06 when women held 51.5, 51.4, and 54.0 percent of the jobs, respectively.

#### **Athletics Directors**

- Whites held the overwhelming percentage of positions of athletics directors in all three divisions at 90.0, 92.0, and 97.0 percent in Divisions I, II and III, respectively. This compared to 93.1, 92.3 and 96.1 percent in 2005-06 respectively.
- African-Americans held 7.2, 3.8 and 1.8 percent respectively in Divisions I, II and III. This compared to 5.5 percent, 3.8 percent and 1.9 percent in 2006 respectively.
- Latinos accounted for 1.9, 3.0, and 0.0 percent of the ADs at Division I, II and III. This compared to 0.9, 2.7 and 0.3 percent in 2006 respectively.
- Asians accounted for 0.0, 0.8, and 0.7 percent of the ADs at Division I, II and III and Native Americans accounted for 0.6, 0.4, and 0.4 percent of the ADs at each level.

• Women lost ground as athletics directors in Divisions II and III and remained static in Division I since the last Report Card in 2006. In Division I, 7.8 percent of women were AD's, which matched that in 2006. In Division II, there was a decrease from 18.7 to 15.6 percent and in Division III there was a slight decrease from 27.3 to 27.1 percent.

## **College Associate and Assistant Athletic Directors**

- At the associate athletic director position, whites comprised 89.2, 88.8, and 96.4 percent of the total population at Division I, II and III respectively. These numbers were all lower that that of 2005-06 (89.5, 94.0 and 95.3 percent respectively). African-Americans held 7.2, 7.5, and 3.2 percent of the positions at each level. These numbers decreased in Division I and III but increased in Division II from 2005-06 when they were 8.2, 4.0, and 3.6 percent, respectively. Latinos held 2.2 percent, 1.6 percent and 0.4 percent of the positions at each level. Asians held 0.6 percent and 1.0 percent in Divisions I and II. There were no Asians in Division III in this position and no Native Americans in Divisions II and III. In Division I, Native Americans had 0.1 percent of the associate athletic director positions.
- The percentage of women filling associate athletic director positions was 27.9 percent in Division I, 40.3 percent in Division II and 50.8 percent in Division III. These percentages were down in Division I and II but increased in Division III from 2005-06 when they were 28.4, 48.7 and 49.7 percent respectively.
- Women held 98.3, 96.6, and 100 percent of the Senior Woman Administrator jobs in Division I, II and III, respectively. These numbers are similar to that of 2005-06 (97.7, 99.4 and 98.9 percent respectively). White women continued to dominate the SWA position holding 84.9, 85.3, and 96.6 percent in Division I, II and III, respectively. African-American women represented 10.2, 7.8 and 1.9 percent at each respective level.
- Whites continue to fill the majority of the FAR positions with 91.7, 92.4, and 94.5 percent in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.

## **OVERALL GRADES**

As stated in the executive summary, this year represented the in-between year in terms of the NCAA releasing racial and gender demographic data. Without those two key studies which The Institute depends, The Institute cannot issue an overall grade for 2009 for the College Racial and Gender Report Card.

The 2008 Racial and Gender Report Card for College Sport showed that college sport received a **C+** for race and a **B** for gender giving it a combined **C+** overall. That compared to the 2006-07 Racial and Gender Report Card when college sport earned a **B-** for race and **B+** for gender. The **C+** for race was last when compared to the grades for the professional sports leagues in 2008. College sport's **B** for gender put it behind the NBA's **B+** and the WNBA's **A+** for gender but ahead of the other men's leagues. The college sport combined grade of **C+** was lowest among all the other sports examined in 2008 and was behind the WNBA (**A+**), NBA (**A**), MLS (**B+**) and MLB (**B**). A new grade will be issued for college sport when the 2010 report card is released next year.

## THE REPORT BY CATEGORY

## University Leadership Positions at Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) Institutions

The key leadership positions at Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) schools and conferences remained overwhelmingly white and male even though there was a record number of nine head coaches of color in the 2009 college football season at the FBS schools (formerly Division IA) according to a study released in November 2009 by The Institute. This study, titled *The Buck Stops Here: Assessing Diversity among Campus and Conference Leaders for Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) Schools in the 2009-10 Academic Year*, reported on the racial and gender demographics and trends at the 120 FBS institutions. Highlights of this study concerning the leadership of university presidents, athletic directors, football coaching staff and faculty athletic representatives are included and analyzed within this section.

## University Presidents at FBS Institutions

Analyzing the leadership at the top of the colleges and universities leading FBS institutions, one can clearly see there is a lack of diversity.

Among the 120 FBS Institutions, 112 (93.3 percent) presidents were white. There were eight presidents of color and 22 women serving as president as of October 2009. This number of female presidents is an increase of one (0.8 percentage points) from 2008, however the number of presidents of color decreased by one over this same time period.

- There were four (3.3 percent) African-American presidents
  - Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University
  - o Roderick McDavis, Ohio University
  - o Elson S. Floyd, Washington State University
  - o Bernadette Gray-Little, University of Kansas
- There were three (2.5 percent) Latino presidents
  - o Luis Proenza, University of Akron

- Manuel Pacheco (Interim), New Mexico State University
- o France A. Cordova, Purdue University
- There was one (0.8 percent) Asian president
  - o Renu Khator, University of Houston
- There were no Native Americans presidents
- There were 22 (18.3 percent) women presidents
  - o Carol Garrison, University of Alabama at Birmingham
  - o Jo Ann M. Gora, Ball State University
  - o Carol A. Cartwright, Bowling Green State University
  - o Kathleen Wilber, Central Michigan University
  - o Monica Rimai, University of Cincinnati
  - Susan W. Martin, Eastern Michigan University
  - o M.R.C. Greenwood, University of Hawaii
  - o Renu Khator, University of Houston
  - o Sally Mason, University of Iowa
  - o Bernadette Gray-Little, University of Kansas
  - Shirley Raines, University of Memphis
  - o Donna E. Shalala, University of Miami (Florida)
  - Mary Sue Coleman, University of Michigan
  - o Lou Anna Kimsey Simon, Michigan State University
  - o Gretchen M. Bataille, University of North Texas
  - o France A. Cordova, Purdue University
  - o Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
  - o Martha Dunagin Saunders, University of Southern Mississippi
  - Nancy Cantor, Syracuse University
  - o Ann Weaver Hart, Temple University
  - o Diana S. Natalicio, University of Texas at El Paso
  - o Biddy Martin, University of Wisconsin, Madison

#### Athletics Directors at FBS Institutions

As of October 2009, there were 11 African-American, four Latino and one Native American athletics directors at FBS institutions. Of the 120 ADs who oversee FBS football programs, there were 104 (86.7 percent) whites. The people of color included the following.

- Eleven (9.2 percent) African-Americans
  - Warde Manuel, The State University of New York at Buffalo
  - o Keith Tribble, University of Central Florida
  - o Derrick Gragg, Eastern Michigan University
  - o Damon Evans, University of Georgia
  - McKinley Boston Jr., New Mexico State University
  - o Eugene Smith, The Ohio State University
  - o Michael Garrett, University of Southern California
  - o Daryl J. Gross, Syracuse University
  - o Kevin Anderson, U.S. Military Academy
  - David Williams II (Vice Chancellor of Student Life and University Affairs and General Counsel), Vanderbilt University
  - o Craig K. Littlepage, University of Virginia

- Four (3.3 percent) Latinos
  - o Daniel G. Guerrero, University of California, Los Angeles
  - o Pete Garcia, Florida International University
  - o Rick Villarreal, University of North Texas
  - o Barry Alvarez, University of Wisconsin, Madison
- One (0.8 percent) Native American
  - Rick Dickson (Tulane University)
- No Asians

There were five white women (4.2 percent) in charge of an FBS school:

- o Lisa Love, Arizona State University
- o Anne "Sandy" Barbour, University of California, Berkeley
- o Deborah A. Yow, University of Maryland, College Park
- o Cary Sue Groth, University of Nevada
- o Kathy Beauregard, Western Michigan University

Two women head a separate women's department and do not oversee football: Joan C. Cronan (Women's AD), University of Tennessee, Knoxville and Christine A. Plonsky (Women's AD), University of Texas at Austin.

The level of diversity within the athletic director position at FBS schools has stayed constant from last year's study, as 16 (13.3 percent) people of color hold this position.

Head Football Coaches and Coordinators at FBS Institutions

Seven of the 120 FBS head coaches were African-American during the 2009 collegiate football season, an increase of one from last year's study. Prior to the start of the 2009 season, four new African-American coaches were hired, including Mike Locksley by New Mexico, Ron English by Eastern Michigan, Mike Haywood by Miami of Ohio and DeWayne Walker by New Mexico State.

There were two other head coaches of color for a total of nine in the 2009 season. This was the highest number in the history of FBS schools.

In the 2009 season, there were 120 head football coaches at FBS schools. Of the 120, 111 (92.5 percent) were white. In addition, there were:

- Seven (5.8 percent) African-Americans
  - o Turner Gill, State University of New York at Buffalo
  - o Ron English, Eastern Michigan University
  - o Kevin Sumlin, University of Houston
  - o Randy Shannon, University of Miami (Florida)
  - o Mike Haywood, Miami University, Ohio
  - o Mike Locksley, University of New Mexico
  - o DeWayne Walker, Mexico State
- One (0.8 percent) Latino
  - o Mario Cristobal, Florida International University
- One (0.8 percent) Polynesian

- Ken Niumatalolo, U.S. Naval Academy
- No Asians
- No Native Americans

At the end of the 2009 season, not only did none of the above coaches lose their jobs, but six additional African-American coaches were hired, whichincreased the number of coaches of color in FBS to a record 15 at the start of the 2010 football season. Turner Gill left Buffalo to take a job as head coach at the University of Kansas, and the six new coaches included Charlie Strong at the University of Louisville, Willie Taggert at Western Kentucky University, Larry Porter at the University of Memphis, Mike London at the University of Virginia, Joker Phillips at the University of Kentucky, and Ruffin McNeill at East Carolina University.

The offensive and defensive coordinators are often viewed as the pipeline for the head coaching position. Among the FBS schools, there were 268 coaches who were considered either offensive or defensive coordinators. This number excludes five offensive or defensive coordinators (Clemson University, Georgia Institute of Technology, University of South Carolina, Texas Tech University, Western Michigan University) who also serve as the head coach.

Of the 268 coordinators, 229 were (85.4 percent) white, 30 were (11.2 percent) African-American, four were (1.5 percent) Latino and five (1.9 percent) were Asian. There was one less African-American coordinator from last year while there was an increase of one coordinator in both the Latino and Asian demographics, respectively.

#### Faculty Athletics Representatives at FBS Institutions

The faculty athletics representative (FAR) is a university's representative of the president and faculty on issues regarding athletics. The FAR is usually appointed by the president and is involved with the assurance of the academic integrity of the athletics programs, as well as the maintenance of the welfare of the student-athlete. The FAR is a very important position within a university.

As of October 2009, there were five African-American, one Latina, one Asian and one Native American faculty athletics representatives at FBS institutions. Of the 120 FBS schools, there are 122 faculty athletics representatives. The people of color included:

- Five (4.1 percent) African-Americans
  - o Myles Lynk, Arizona State University
  - o Percy Bates, University of Michigan
  - o Alex Byrd, Rice University
  - William Smith, University of Utah
  - o Lucius Outlaw, Vanderbilt University
- One (0.8 percent) Latina
  - o Consuelo Stebbins, University of Central Florida
- One (0.8) Asian
  - o L.J. "Kelvin" Coong, Oregon State University
- One (0.8 percent) Native American
  - o Larry Echo Hawk, Brigham Young University

There are 33 white women (27.0 percent) in total serving as faculty athletics representatives.

## **NCAA** Headquarters

The data in this section on the NCAA headquarters was supplied by the NCAA for 2009 and is compared to their data from 2008.

Throughout his tenure as NCAA President, the late Myles Brand strongly expressed his support of creating opportunities for women and people of color within the NCAA headquarters and at the member institutions that comprise the NCAA. In his State of the NCAA address in January 2008, Brand stated, "Women continue to be under-represented in terms of grants-in-aid for student-athletes, coaching opportunities for women's sports, and leadership positions in terms of athletics director positions. Athletics scholarships, coaching positions and athletics directorships must, of course, be allocated on the bases of talent and hard work. But it is simply incredulous that the talent pool is so weighted toward men to produce this imbalance. The facts, as well as the history of past lack of female representation, point to a continuing problem of injustice." He also said that the lack of African-American head football coaches is an embarrassment to all of intercollegiate athletics and that "the talent pool exists and it contains men who are ready and able to successfully lead these teams, but we are yet to see more than eight head coaches among the 119 teams in the Football Bowl Subdivision. And as bad as that is, it is worse in the Football Championship Subdivision and in Divisions II and III." Dr. Brand helped set the stage for the large positive changes in FBS head coaches after the 2009 season.

At the EVP/SVP/VP position, the number of people of color and women remained the same at three and four, respectively. African-Americans continue to be the only people of color represented at the EVP/SVP/VP positions, occupying 16.7 percent of the positions. Whites held the remaining 83.3 percent of the positions.

The percentages increased for people of color who hold posts at the managing director/director level, which includes the managing director position as well. While women increased in numbers from 28 to 29, the percentage increase was minimal since the additional net number of females was offset by one more male hire from 2008. People of color increased by 0.8 percentage points to 24.3 percent, constituting the highest percentage ever of people of color in this category. Whites occupied 75.7 percent of the positions while African-Americans held 17.1 percent (up 0.9 percentage points) of the managing director/director positions. The most significant percentage gain was seen in the Latino demographic, as Latinos held 4.3 percent (up 1.4 percentage points). Asians occupied just 1.4 percent (down 3.0 percentage points) of the positions, but the percentage of people of color increased due to the boost in Latino percentage and the addition of one person in the "other" demographic category, representing 1.4 percent (up from 0.0 percent last year). Women held 41.4 percent of these positions, an increase of 0.3 percentage points.

At the NCAA administrator level, the percentage of people of color decreased even though the total number of minorities (45) did not change from last year. The number of white NCAA administrators increased by 10, thus increasing the percentage of whites in these positions by 1.1 percentage points to 78.0 percent. All the percentages for people of color decreased, as African-American representation is now 18.1 percent (down 0.9 percentage points) and Asians and Latinos each represent 2.0 percent (down 0.1 percentage points in each category). Although the percentage of women in administrator

posts decreased by 1.7 points to 53.2 percent of the available positions, the total number of women increased by two from 107 female administrators in 2008 to 109 female administrators in 2009.

Within the support staff position level at the headquarters, which includes all levels of assistants, the percentage of people of color decreased 0.6 percentage points to 19.0 percent while women increased 0.4 percentage points to 95.0 percent. This percentage of women at the support level represents the highest percentage of women in these positions since the NCAA began reporting demographic data in 1998.

When examining the composite outlook of these numbers, the total number of full-time NCAA employees equals 414, an increase of 21 from 403 in 2008. The percentages of female and minority representation are down, as the percentage of females is 62.1 percent, down 0.2 percentage points, and the percentage of people of color is 21.3 percent, down 0.6 percentage points. These numbers are accurate as of September 1, 2009. It should be noted that these numbers represent a snapshot in time for the NCAA. As a result there is some fluctuation that occurs based upon the time of when staff are starting or departing. For consistency in the grading of our reports year to year, all data regarding the NCAA offices is taken from September each year.

Charlotte Westerhaus continued in her role as the vice president for the Office of Diversity and Inclusion, having worked closely with the late NCAA president Myles Brand on "identifying ways in which the NCAA can better assist universities in the hiring of women and people of color into leadership positions."

The African-American vice-presidents are:

- Bernard Franklin, sr. vice president for Governance and Membership
- Robert Vowels, vice president for Education Services
- Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president for Diversity and Inclusion

The four women vice presidents are:

- Charlotte Westerhaus, vice President for Diversity and Inclusion
- Elsa Cole, chief legal counsel
- Sue Donohoe, vice president of Women's Division I Basketball
- Joni Comstock, vice president for NCAA Championships

See Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

#### **Conference Commissioners**

Once again, 100 percent of the 11 Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS), formerly known as Division IA, conference commissioners were white men. Being a conference commissioner is a powerful position and those that head BCS Conferences are considered to be among the most powerful and influential people in college sport. There were a few opportunities for minorities and women at the associate commissioner position. At the time of this publication, there were 59 Associate Commissioners at FBS schools, with women occupying 17 positions, or 29 percent. People of color made up just under 14 percent of available positions at the associate commissioner level. The information in this section was collected by TIDES from the conferences and not from NCAA reports.

In all of Division I, excluding the Historically Black conferences, all 30 (100 percent) of Division I conference commissioners were white. Five conferences were led by women as commissioners, accounting for 16.7 percent. The NCAA lists six other women who led sport-specific conferences. There were three new female commissioners hired since last year's study, Robin Harris of the Ivy League, Beth DeBauche of the Ohio Valley Conference, and Noreen Morris of the Northeast Conference.

The RGRC breaks down the female conference commissioners as follows:

There were five women that headed Division I conferences:

- Bernadette V. McGlade. Atlantic 10 Conference
- Carolyn Schlie Femovich, Patriot League
- Robin Harris, Ivy League
- Beth DeBauche, Ohio Valley Conference
- Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference

There were seventeen women who held associate commissioner positions at the FBS level:

- Nora Lynn Finch, Atlantic Coast Conference
- Amy Yakola, Atlantic Coast Conference
- Dru Hancock, Big XII Conference
- Donna DeMarco, Big East Conference
- Danielle Donehew, Big East Conference
- Carola Iwaoka, Big Ten Conference
- Andrea Williams, Big Ten Conference
- Judy MacLeod, Conference USA
- Kelly Carney, Conference USA
- Donyale Canada, Mid-American Conference
- Carrie Coll, Mountain West Conference
- Carolayne Henry, Mountain West Conference
- Chrstine Hoyles, Pacific 10 Conference
- Chris Dawson, Pacific 10 Conference
- Debbie Corum, Southeastern Conference
- Kathy Keene, Sunbelt Conference
- Connie Hulburt, Western Athletic Conference

There were six women who were in charge of sport-specific conferences:

- Wendy J. Guthrie, Pacific Coast Softball Conference
- Carla M. Konet, Northern Pacific Field Hockey Conference
- Ellen Moore, Pacific Coast Softball Conference
- Peggy J. Pruitt, American Lacrosse Conference
- Alexis Schug, Atlantic Soccer Conference
- Patty Viverito, Gateway Football Conference and Pioneer Football League

See Tables 6 and 7.

#### Student-athletes

There were several changes in data categorizations made by both the NCAA and The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport that are essential to be aware of before highlighting statistical observations for the past year:

- Beginning in 2006-07 and continuing in 2007-08, tables were added to the NCAA Student-athlete Ethnicity Report detailing the resident alien status of the student-athletes separately from their race/ethnicity. Previously, these categories were combined, but now have been separated for greater accuracy in reporting. Therefore, because of the removal of "resident alien" as a choice among ethnic categories, the other ethnicities will increase accordingly. Student-athletes whose ethnicities were previously identified as "nonresident alien" are now identified with an ethnic category and then identified separately as nonresident aliens. This is particularly relevant in certain sports such as tennis and ice hockey which each have over 20 percent of total participants identified as having nonresident alien status. So some increases in ethnic percentages from earlier years can be attributed to this change in methodology.
- Beginning with the 2007-2008 NCAA Student-athlete Ethnicity Report, two new categories were added to create a more accurate snapshot of ethnic identification. The category of "Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander" was made separate from the Asian demographic where it had previously been included. Additionally, the category of "Two or More Races" was added. Although the percentage of athletes who identify themselves by one of these categories is generally smaller than one percent across all sports and divisions, the cumulative inclusion of these categories does help to explain some minor fluctuation in percentages for other ethnic categories from 2006-07 to 2007-08.
- Each year, the Racial and Gender Report Card takes a look at three Division I sports and highlights trends for both male and female athletes. For the male athletes, these sports are basketball, football and baseball. For the female athletes, a change was made this year to make this snapshot picture more comparable to the sports chosen for the men. In the past, the three sports chosen for female athletes were basketball, cross country and track combined, and a category known as all other sports. With female athlete participation numbers continuing to increase across the board, there was less need to combine sports for a large observation sample. Beginning with this year, the three female sports for the Division I observations will be basketball, outdoor track and softball, sports with both strong participation levels and comparatively high media attention in relation to other female sports.

In the snapshot of three men's Division I sports, there was no percentage change in any demographic larger 0.7 percentage points from last year to this year.

In basketball, the percentage of African-Americans (60.4 percent), Latinos (1.8 percent) and Asians (0.4 percent) remained unchanged, while white participation increased 0.1 percentage points to 32.6 percent. The inclusion of the "Two or More Races" category resulted in a debut number of 0.5 percent, which may help explain the 0.5 percentage point drop in the "other" category, which now represents 4.2 percent of Division I basketball players.

In football, the biggest shift was a 0.7 percentage point decrease for Asians, which now comprise 0.9 percent of the population. This shift can probably be explained by the inclusion of the Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander demographic, which previously was included in the Asian category but now

accounts for 0.9 percent of this separate category. African-Americans increased 0.5 percentage points to 46.4 percent and whites decreased 0.4 percentage points to 46.6 percent, indicating the levels of participation between these two races are as close to equal as they have ever been for a given year since this data was first recorded.

In baseball, there was no percentage shift greater than 0.2 percentage points ("other" decreased from 2.5 percent to 2.3 percent), but Latino participation did increase 0.1 percentage point to bring its total to 5.5 percent, only 0.1 percent off of its all-time high for participation percentage recorded in 2000-01.

Of the three women's sports used for this study, basketball saw the most notable shifts over the last year. African-American student-athletes account for 50.1 percent of the Division I basketball participants, representing a 2.7 percentage point increase from last year and the highest percentage of African-Americans in the sport ever. White participation decreased 1.8 points to 42.6 percent, Latinas fell 0.7 percentage points to 1.3 percent, Asians held constant at 1.1 percent, and American Indian/Alaskan Natives fell 0.1 percentage points to 0.3 percent. The introduction of the Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander and Two or More Races categories yielded participation totals of 0.2 and 0.5 percents, respectively, which may help to explain the 0.8 percentage point decrease in the "other" category from last year.

In outdoor track, 60.2 percent of the athletes were white, which is a 0.6 percentage point drop from last year; 29.5 percent of the athletes were African-American, which is a 0.8 percentage point increase and again represents the highest percentage of African-American representation since these numbers have been recorded by the NCAA over the last decade.

In softball, Latinas saw a 0.4 percentage point increase to 7.2 percent, continuing the trend of increased participation by this demographic in every year since 2001-02. In most of the remaining demographics there was a noticeable downward trend, as whites accounted for 78.5 percent (down 0.1 percentage points), African-Americans for 7.7 percent (down 0.8 percentage points), "other" for 2.7 percent (down 0.5 percentage points) and Asians 2.0 percent (down 0.3). American Indian/Alaskan Natives increased 0.2 percentage points to 0.8 percent and the inclusion of the two new categories yielded totals of 0.6 percent for Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders and 0.5 percent for Two or More Races.

Over the years, there has not been much variance in the racial make-up of the male student-athlete population across all Division I sports. This year's data supports this observation, as white participation increased by 0.1 percentage points to bring the total to 64.3. African-American male participation also increased slightly to 25.0 percent, which represents the highest percentage for this demographic since 1998-1999. Latino representation reached an all-time high at 3.9 percent, an increase of 0.1 percentage points from last year's previous record.

For female athletes across all Division I sports, there was not nearly the level of change as in the men's demographics. African-American female participation reached its all-time high at 15.9 percentage (up 0.2 percentage points) and Latina participation stayed constant with its record high set last year at 3.7 percent. Asian/Pacific Islanders, both male and female, enjoyed their highest participation levels ever at 1.9 and 2.5 percents, respectively, and the inclusion of the Two or More Races category yielded 0.2 percent of the total population for the men and 0.3 percent for the women.

According to the NCAA 2007-2008 Student-Athlete Ethnicity Report, the percentage of white male student-athletes at the Division I, II and III combined were 72.2 percent, 18.5 percent for African-

American males, 4.2 percent for Latinos, 1.5 percent for Asian men, 0.4 percent for American Indian/Alaskan Natives and 0.3 percent for males of Two or More Races.

The percentage of white female student-athletes at the Division I, II and III combined were 78.9 percent, 11.3 percent for African-American females, 3.8 percent for Latinas, 2.0 percent for Asian females, 0.4 percent for American Indian/Alaskan Native females and 0.4 percent for females of Two or More Races.

In Divisions I, II and III, white male student-athletes comprised 64.3, 65.2 and 82.7 percent of the total male student-athletes, respectively. In Division I, white female student-athletes comprised 71.9 percent of the total female student-athlete population. In Division II, they make up 75.8 percent and in Division III, 87.0 percent.

In Division I, II and III, African-American male student-athletes make up 25.0, 24.0 and 9.2 percent of total male student-athletes, respectively while Latinos made up 3.9, 6.2 and 3.3 percent, respectively and Asians comprised 1.5, 1.0 and 1.8 percent, respectively. Native Americans made up 0.4, 0.5 and 0.2 percent, respectively and males of Two or More Races made up 0.2, 0.2 and 0.4 percent, respectively.

In Division I, II and III, African-American female student-athletes comprised 15.9, 13.1 and 5.2 percent of the total female student-athlete population, respectively while Latinas made up 3.7, 5.9 and 2.7 percent, respectively and Asians comprised 2.3, 1.3 and 2.0 percent, respectively. Native Americans made up 0.4, 0.5 and 0.2 percent, respectively and females of Two or More Races made up 0.3, 0.5 and 0.5 percent, respectively.

According to the NCAA, 45.4 percent of all NCAA Division I, II and III student-athletes combined are female and 54.6 percent are male.

All student-athlete data came from the NCAA 2007-2008 Student-Athlete Ethnicity Report.

See Tables 8, 9 and 10.

\* The data and analysis in the following sections were presented in the 2008 College Sport Racial and Gender Report Card for College Sport. That data is based on NCAA generated surveys. No new data was released in time for this report card. Some wording may have been edited, added or deleted for clarification, but all the data and statistics remain unchanged.

#### **Head Coaches\***

Institutions continued to be criticized for their hiring practices since the vast majority of head coaching positions in college sports, both for men's and women's teams, continued to be filled with white males. Action has been taken to improve this situation. The Black Coaches and Administrators (BCA) issued a Football Hiring Report Card in October every year from 2004 to 2009 to assess how universities handle the process for hiring head football coaches. It evaluates whether the school contacted the BCA for assistance, who served on the search committee, which candidates were interviewed, who was hired, and whether the athletic department followed their school's affirmative action guidelines. This football hiring report card has been a very positive tool in evaluating the hiring process of college coaches regarding increasing candidates of color and diverse search committees. The positive gains for coaches

at FBS institutions were reflected in the positive hiring trends for coaches of color in football that were discussed in the section on *University Leadership Positions at FBS Institutions* section near the beginning of this report.

In the 2007-08 year, the percentage of African-Americans coaching men's teams decreased in Division I but increased in Division II and III while whites coaching men's teams decreased at every level.

Nevertheless, whites still dominated as coaches of men's teams of each division. Whites held 89.2 percent (down from 90.6 percent in 2005-06), 88.7 percent (down from 89.5 percent in 2005-06) and 92.5 percent (down from 93.4 percent in 2006) of all head coaching positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.

African-Americans held 7.2 percent (down from 7.3 percent in 2005-06), 5.3 percent (up from 4.4 percent in 2005-06) and 4.0 percent (down from 4.1 percent in 2005-06) of the men's head coaching positions in the three NCAA divisions, respectively. Latinos held 1.6 percent, 3.9 percent, and 1.5 percent of head coaching positions for men's teams in the respective divisions (1.1, 3.6, and 1.5 percent in 2005-06 respectively). Asians held 0.9, 1.1, and 0.6 percent of head coaching positions for men's teams in the respective divisions, which showed slight increases in Division I and II, but remained identical for Division III compared to that of 2005-06. Native American representation was minimal. These figures accounted for male and female head coaches of men's teams.

Although there was a decline last year, Division I men's basketball continues to be the best representation of diversity at all levels and across all sports. In 2007-08, African-American men accounted for 22.9 percent of the men's basketball head coaching positions at the Division I level, which was a 2.3 percent decrease from the last report card. This was the lowest point since the 2000-01 year and is reason for concern. Latinos and Native Americans held 0.7 and 0.3 percent, respectively, of the coaching positions.

There are no other men's or women's sports that even came close to being as diverse as Division I men's basketball.

In 2007-08 in all of Division I, African-Americans held 5.1 percent and Latinos held 0.5 percent of the football head coaching positions.

In Division I baseball, the percentage of people of color occupying the head coach position was only 4.1 percent, with 2.2 percent Latino, 1.5 percent Asian and 0.4 percent African-American.

Division I tends to have the most diverse group of coaches, with less diversity at the Division II and Division III levels. For men's basketball in all divisions combined, African-Americans held 12.8 percent (a decrease of 1.2 percent) of the head coaching positions. In all divisions combined for football, African-Americans held 3.2 percent of the coaching positions, remaining the same since the last report card. In all divisions combined for baseball, African-Americans held 1.0 percent, up 0.3 percentage points. Whites, on the other hand, held 85.1 (increase of 0.9 percentage points), 95.2 percent (decrease of 0.2 percentage points) and 94.7 percent (decrease of 0.6 percentage points) of the head positions in basketball, football and baseball, respectively. Latinos lost ground in basketball to 0.9 percent and football to 0.5 percent while increasing to 2.9 percent in baseball coaching positions.

African-Americans were so underrepresented as head coaches, that once again, the percent of women coaching men's teams actually exceeded that of African-Americans in Division III (4.8 percent versus 4.0 percent.) In Division II, the percentage of women coaching men's teams was similarly low to the percentage of African-Americans (3.7 percent versus 5.3 percent).

In Division I, women coaching men's teams outnumbered Latinos, Asians and Native Americans combined (2.8 percent vs. 2.6 percent).

More than three-and-a-half decades after the passage of Title IX, women coaching women's teams still do not represent the majority of coaches in the women's game. In addition, this year's numbers show no progress in women coaching women's sports in most sports. Women head coaches in Division I basketball increased slightly (64.7 percent in 2007-08 and 64.3 percent in 2005-06). Head coaches of Division I Track/Cross Country, which combines the head coaches of Cross Country, Indoor Track and Outdoor Track, saw a slight decrease in female head coaches from 20.8 percent in 2005-06 to 20.2 percent in 2007-08. In all other sports, men led 57.4 percent of the women's teams while women were head coaches in only 42.1 percent of the programs.

The female coaching totals were 40.3 percent for women's teams and 2.8 percent of men's teams for Division I. They were 33.1 percent of women's teams and 3.7 percent of men's teams for Division II. They were 43.0 percent of women's teams and 4.8 percent of men's teams for Division III.

Just as there were sports singled out for the men's teams, it is important to look more closely at particular women's sports as well. This serves to obtain a balanced view of coaching positions on the women's teams. The Racial and Gender Report Card examines the head coaching percentages in women's basketball and cross-country/indoor and outdoor track programs.

Whites held 85.6 percent (down from 86.6 percent in 2005-06) of Division I women's basketball head coaching positions. Women held 64.7 percent of these positions (up from 64.3 percent in 2005-06). The percentage of white women head coaches in Division I women's basketball decreased slightly from 54.0 percent in 2005-06 to 53.7 percent in 2007-08. Meanwhile, white men also decreased from 32.6 percent to 31.9 percent. African-American women held 10.7 percent and African-American men held 2.9 percent for a combined percentage of 13.6 percent (up from 12.1 percent). There were no Asian or Native American coaches. Only 0.6 percent of the positions were held by Latino head coaches.

All of this stands in stark contrast to the 50.1 percent of the student-athletes playing women's basketball who were African-American. The disparity is what compelled the BCA to initiate a women's basketball hiring report card for 2007-08 for the FBS schools.

According to the *BCA Hiring Report Card for Women's College Basketball (2008-2009*), there were eight African-American women hired. At the end of the 2008-09 season there were 25 coaches of color (20.8 percent), including 21 African-American women, three African-American men, and one Latino, coaching among the 120 women's basketball coaches schools that compete in FBS schools.

Head coaches in NCAA Division I track/cross-country teams have the highest – and still increasing percent of people of color in head coaching positions for women's college sports. Whites held 79.9 percent of these posts (down from 83.7 percent in 2005-06), African-Americans held 16.3 percent (up from 14.7 percent in 2005-06). Meanwhile, Latinos held 2.4 percent of these posts (up from 1.2 percent in 2005-06). Women overall held 20.2 percent of these positions (down from 20.8 percent in 2005-06).

African-American women increased slightly from 2006 to 6.2 percent and white women dropped to 13.1 percent of these positions (down from 14.2 percent in 2005-06). Men coached 79.2 percent of the men's and women's track/cross country teams, which matched that in 2005-06.

Although the percentage of African-Americans coaching women's teams increased in all three divisions and whites coaching women's teams decreased at every level, whites still dominated as coaches of women's teams of each division. Whites held 87.7 percent (down from 89.6 percent in 2005-06), 88.9 percent (down from 89.9 percent in 2005-06) and 91.9 percent (down from 92.9 percent in 2005-06) of all head coaching positions of women's sports in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.

African-Americans held 7.0 percent (up from 6.6 percent in 2005-06), 5.1 percent (up from 4.3 percent in 2005-06) and 4.4 percent (up from 4.2 percent in 2005-06) of the women's head coaching positions in the three NCAA divisions, respectively. Latinos held 2.1 percent, 2.8 percent, and 1.2 percent of head coaching positions for women's teams in the respective divisions (1.6, 2.9, and 1.3 percent in 2005-06 respectively). Asians held 1.4, 1.3, and 1.2 percent of head coaching positions for women's teams in the respective divisions, which was nearly identical to that of 2005-06. Native American representation was minimal. These figures accounted for male and female head coaches of women's teams.

See Tables 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18.

#### Assistant Coaches\*

The assistant coach position is often seen as a stepping stone to the head coach position which attracts all the attention, recognition and income. In addition, the head coach holds all the power within a team dynamic.

In 2007-08, there was some improvement for male people of color as assistants on men's teams and for people of color in general as assistants on women's teams. However, the percentage of women assistants on women's teams declined in all three divisions.

In Division I men's basketball and football, 39.3 and 23.8 percent of the jobs were held by African-Americans, respectively. Only one percent of the assistant baseball coaches are African-American. The percentages drop to 28.1 for basketball and 17.6 percent for football in all divisions combined. Latinos hold 1.9 percent of the basketball jobs and 4.3 percent of the assistant posts in baseball.

On the men's teams in 2007-08, whites held 76.9, 79.0, and 88.1 percent of the assistant coaching positions in the three divisions, respectively, which was a decline from 79.2, 82.7, and 88.5 percent respectively in 2005-06. In 2007-08, African-Americans held 17.7, 14.2, and 8.3 percent, respectively. In 2005-06, African-Americans held 17.6, 11.6 and 8.3 percent of the assistant coaching jobs on men's teams in the respective divisions. In 2007-08, Latinos held 1.9, 4.2, and 1.5 percent respectively in Divisions I, II, and III. Asians held 0.7, 0.4, and 0.5 percent respectively in Divisions I, II, and III. Native Americans held 0.1, 0.3, and 0.0 percent in Divisions I, II, and III respectively.

Among the women's teams in 2007-08, whites held 79.1, 81.2, and 88.8 percent of the assistant coaching positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively, which was a decline from 81.6, 82.4 and 90.5 percent respectively in 2005-06. In 2007-08, African-Americans had 13.5, 10.3, and 6.4 percent

respectively. In 2005-06, African-Americans held 13.2, 9.4 and 6.5 percent of the assistant coaching jobs on women's teams in the respective Divisions.

Latinos held 2.7, 4.8, and 1.6 percent of the assistant coaching positions on women's teams in Divisions I, II, and III respectively. Asians held 1.8, 1.5, and 1.0 percent of the positions in Divisions I, II, and III respectively. Native Americans held 0.2, 0.3, and 0.0 percent of the positions in Division I, II, and III respectively.

The percentage of women assistants on women's teams declined in all three divisions as they tumbled from slightly more than 50 percent in each to less than 50 percent in each division. As assistants, women in 2007-08 held 49.4 of the positions in Division I, 48.9 in Division II and 49.5 percent in Division III. This was down from 2005-06 when women held 51.5, 51.4, and 54.0 percent of the jobs, respectively.

Women have decreased their presence within coaching staffs of men's teams at all levels decreasing their percentages to 7.2, 7.9, and 8.4 percent, respectively in Division I, II, and III. This is down from 8.4, 8.4 and 10.0 percent, respectively in 2005-06.

In Division III, there is nearly an identical percentage of women (8.4 percent) as assistants on men's teams as there are African-American men and women assistants combined on men's teams (8.3 percent).

See Tables 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24.

## **College Athletic Directors\***

In all of Division I, whites held 90 percent (down 3.1 percentage points) of athletic director jobs. African-Americans held 7.2 percent, (up 1.7 percentage points). Latinos held 1.9 (up 1.0 percent). Native Americans held 0.6 percent and there were no Asian ADs in Division I.

Women continue to struggle to increase representation in the athletic director position. The percentage of female athletic directors at the Division I level stayed the same as in the previous report at 7.8 percent of all positions. Of these positions, white women held 6.2 percent and African-American women held 1.0 percent. Latina and Native American females each made up 0.3 percent of all ADs in Division I, while there were no Asian female ADs.

When considering all divisions combined, the athletic director position was one of the whitest positions in all of sport when HBCUs were excluded. The only position that had a greater percentage of whites filling the position was the Sports Information Director which is 95.0, 93.4 and 95.0 percent white in Division I, II and III respectively.

At the athletics director position, whites held 90.0 percent (down 3.1 percentage points) of the athletics director jobs in Division I, 92.0 percent in Division II (down 0.3 percentage points) and 97.0 percent in Division III (up 0.9 of a percentage point). African-Americans held 7.2, 3.8 and 1.8 percent respectively in Divisions I, II and III. This compared to 5.5 percent, 3.8 percent and 1.9 percent in 2005-06 respectively. Latinos accounted for 1.9, 3.0, and 0.0 percent of the ADs at Division I, II and III, compared to 0.9, 2.7 and 0.3 percent in 2005-06, respectively. Asians accounted for 0.0, 0.8, and 0.7 percent of the ADs at

Division I, II and III and Native Americans accounted for 0.6, 0.4, and 0.4 percent of the ADs at each level in 2007-08.

Women lost ground as ADs in Divisions II and III and remained the same in Division I with 7.8 percent. The percentage of female athletic directors in Division II dropped a substantial 2.9 percentage points to 15.6 percent and dropped by 0.2 percentage points in Division III to 27.1 percent.

Further breakdown of the athletic director position at the Division II level, excluding the HBCUs, shows that whites held 92.0 percent (0.3 percentage point decrease) of the athletic director jobs, African-Americans remained the same at 3.8 percent, Latinos held 3.0 percent (0.3 percentage point increase), Asians 0.8 percent (0.3 percentage point increase) and Native Americans 0.4 percent (0.1 percentage point decrease). The percentage of white men increased a little over three percent, from 74.7 percent to 78.0 percent.

Women held 15.6 percent (3.1 percentage point decrease) of Division II athletic director posts. White women comprised 14.0 percent (3.6 percentage point decrease), 0.8 percent were African-American women, and 0.8 percent were Asian women. Both of these categories increased by 0.3 percentage points.

Although Division III has a poor record for racial diversity among athletic directors, this division does offer women the greatest opportunity to serve this position. Women held 27.1 percent of the athletic director jobs, a decrease of 0.2 percentage points. Among the female athletic directors, white women held 26.2 percent, Asian women held 0.5 percent while African-American women and Native American women each held 0.2 percent, respectively. There were no Latina athletic directors.

See Tables 25, 26, and 27.

# **College Associate and Assistant Athletic Directors\***

As in all cases regarding employment in college athletics, the data reported on associate and assistant athletics directors, senior woman administrators and faculty athletics representatives excludes the HBCUs.

The senior administrative title includes both the associate and assistant athletic director positions. These jobs are thought of as the pipeline to the athletics director position. People in both of these positions work very closely with the athletic director and they are often training grounds for future athletic directors. In the hierarchy of power, associate athletic directors do fall above assistant athletic directors. Although these are two separate positions, the demographic make-up of each slot is strikingly similar at the Division I level.

At the associate athletic director position, whites comprised 89.2 percent, 88.8 percent, and 96.4 percent of the total population at Division I, II and III respectively.

African-Americans held 7.2 percent, 7.5 percent and 3.2 percent of the positions at each level. Latinos held 2.2 percent, 1.6 percent and 0.4 percent of the positions at each level. Asians held 0.6 percent and 1.0 percent in Divisions I and II. There were no Asians in Division III in this position and no Native

Americans in Divisions II or III. In Division I, Native Americans had 0.1 percent of the associate athletic director positions.

The percentage of women filling associate athletic director positions was 27.9 percent in Division I, 40.3 percent in Division II and 50.8 percent in Division III.

At the assistant athletic director position, whites held 88.2, 91.5 and 91.5 percent of the positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.

African-Americans totaled 8.6, 4.8 and 5.2 percent of assistant athletic directors in the respective divisions. Latinos held 2.2 percent, 1.2 percent and 2.1 percent of the positions at each level. Asians held 0.6 percent, 1.2 percent and 0.2 percent of the positions at each level. There were no Native Americans in Divisions I and III. In Division II, Native Americans had 0.3 percent of the assistant athletic director positions.

Women occupied 26.5 percent of the assistant athletic directors in Division I, 38.2 percent in Division II and 39.1 percent in Division III.

On average, the positions of associate athletic director and assistant athletic director for Division I were found to be 88.7 percent white, 7.9 percent African-American, 0.6 percent Asian, and 2.2 percent Latino. For Division II, 90.2 percent were white, 6.2 percent African-American, 1.1 percent Asian, and 1.4 percent Latino and in Division III, 94.0 percent were white, 4.2 percent African-American, 0.1 percent Asian, and 1.3 percent Latino.

Looking at the overall percentages of women for both the associate athletic director and the assistant athletic director positions combined for 27.2 percent, 39.3 percent, and 45.0 percent in Divisions I, II, and III.

In Divisions I and II, the gender breakdown was very similar between associate and assistant ADs in their respective divisions. Associate athletic directors were 71.6 percent male and 27.9 percent female in Division I and assistant athletic directors were 73.2 percent male and 26.5 percent female in Division I. For Division II, associate athletic directors were 59.6 male and 40.3 percent female and assistant athletic directors were 61.1 percent male and 38.2 percent female. At the Division III level, the associate athletic director position was almost split 50/50 between males and females, with males holding 49.2 percent and females holding 50.8 percent while the assistant athletic director position had males holding 60.5 percent and females holding 39.1 percent.

See Table 28.

## **Senior Woman Administrator\***

The senior woman administrator (SWA) is a significant title within an athletic department. Women held 98.3, 96.6 and 100 percent of the SWA jobs in Division I, II and III, respectively.

White women continued to dominate at 84.9, 85.3 and 96.6 percent in Division I, II and III, respectively.

In Division I, African-American women held 10.2 percent (remaining the same), Asian women held 0.3 percent, Latinas held 1.6 percent and Native American women held 1.0 percent. Overall a total of 13.4 percent of the positions were occupied by females of color, a decrease of 0.1 percentage points. White men were 1.0 percent and African-American men held 0.3 percent in this position. The percentage of women in this post increased 0.6 percentage points to 98.3 percent.

The senior woman administrator position is slightly less diverse at the Division II level. White women held 85.3 percent of these positions, a 5.3 percentage point drop. African-American women held 7.8 percent; Latinas held 2.7 percent and Asians accounted for 0.4 percent. This year 1.6 percent of the people holding this position at the Division II level were white men and 0.8 percent were African-American men. Women of color made gains with an increase from 8.9 percent to 11.3 percent. Overall women held 96.6 percent of all the senior woman administrator positions in Division II.

Senior woman administrators in Division III were 100 percent female. Of these women, 96.6 percent were white women, 1.9 percent were African-American women, 1.0 percent were Latina and 0.5 percent were Native American. There were no Asian women. People of color, which were all women of color, remained the same at 3.4 percent.

See Table 29.

## Faculty Athletics Representatives\*

Whites filled the vast majority of the faculty athletics representative (FAR) positions with 91.7, 92.4 and 94.5 percent in Divisions I, II and III, respectively, in 2007-08.

In Division I, 67.9 percent were white men and 23.8 percent were white women. Among African-Americans serving as FARs, 4.1 percent were men and 1.0 percent were women. Total African-Americans in this position increased two percentage points. Also among the FAR positions in Division I, 1.0 percent were Asian men and 0.6 percent were Asian women, 0.6 percent were Latino, and 0.3 percent were Native American men. Women held 25.4 percent of these posts (an increase of 2.8 percentage points), while people of color held 7.6 percent (an increase of 0.2 percentage points). There were no Latina or Native American women in this position.

At the Division II level where whites held 92.4 percent of the FAR posts, 70.6 percent were white men and 21.8 percent were white women. African-Americans held a total of 3.5 percent of the positions (2.7 percent male and 0.8 percent female). Asian men increased from 0.6 percent to 0.8 percent. Latinos held 2.7 percent (1.9 percent being Latino and 0.8 percent Latina). Women overall decreased 4.5 percentage points from 27.9 to 23.4 percent. People of color in this position decreased by 1.0 percentage point.

In Division III where whites held 94.5 percent, 61.9 percent were white men and 32.6 percent were white women. The percentage of white men fell 2.8 percent, whereas the percentage of white women increased 1.8 percent. African-Americans accounted for a total of 2.9 percent, which were held by 2.7 percent men and 0.2 percent women. Latinos held one percent (0.8 percent male and 0.2 percent female) of the FAR positions. Asians accounted for 0.8 percent (0.6 percent male and 0.2 percent female). The percentage of whites dropped by 1.0 percent, while African-American men increased by 0.7 percent. Women held 33.2 percent of the FAR posts in Division III, a gain of 1.6 percent.

See Table 30.

## **Sports Information Directors\***

The sports information director position is one of the whitest positions in all of sport when HBCUs are excluded. It is 95.0, 93.4 and 95.0 percent white in Division I, II and III respectively. This is very important because the SID is usually the key decision maker in what and who is publicized among coaches and student-athletes.

The SID position in Division I athletics is 95.0 percent white, 0.9 percent African-American, 2.0 percent Asian, 1.9 percent Latino, and 0.0 percent Native American. In Divisions II and III, the position make-up for the sport information director was very similar. Division II consisted of 93.4 percent white, 3.5 percent African-American, 1.5 percent each Asian and Latino, and 0.0 percent Native American. Division III consisted of 95.0 percent white, 2.4 percent African-American, 0.7 percent Asian, 1.4 percent Latino, and once again, no Native Americans.

See Table 31.

## **Professional Administration\***

This category includes a wide range of job descriptions. At NCAA member institutions, jobs that fit in this category are academic advisor/counselor, compliance coordinator/officer, the sports information director and assistant directors, strength coaches, life skills coordinators, and managers for business, equipment, fundraiser/development, facilities, promotions/marketing and tickets. As in all cases regarding employment in college athletics, the data reported in this section excludes the HBCUs. These positions are often starting points from which many people rise into higher level slots within a university or athletic department. The previous report showed the tendency for opportunities for women to increase as you move from Division I to II to III, but according to this year's data, the percentage of women decreased slightly from Division I to II before increasing at the Division III level. However, the percentage of people of color filling these positions decrease while moving from Division I to II to III.

Although there was slight improvement for people of color in all three divisions, whites still dominated the professional administration category by holding 86.4 percent (down from 87.9 percent in 2006), 88.6 percent (down from 89.2 percent in 2006) and 92.8 percent (down from 94.4 percent in 2006) of all professional administration positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively.

African-Americans held 8.7 percent, 6.3 percent and 4.2 percent of all professional administration positions in the three NCAA divisions, respectively. Latinos held 2.7 percent, 2.4 percent, and 1.7 percent of head coaching positions for all professional administration positions in the respective divisions. Asians held 1.4, 1.7, and 0.7 percent of all professional administration positions in Divisions I, II and III, respectively. Native American representation was minimal.

Women accounted for 34.9, 32.7 and 38.8 of all professional administration positions in the three NCAA divisions, respectively. That represented a substantial 3.4 percentage point increase in Division I but substantial declines for women in Divisions II and III of 5.1 and 5.7 percentage points.

Women were especially well represented in the positions of academic advisor/counselor, life skills coordinator, business manager and compliance coordinator/officer. In the academic advisor/counselor position, women held 62.1, 54.4 and 56.5 percent at Division I, II and III institutions, respectively. Within the life skills coordinator position, women held 72.4, 63.7 and 70.5 percent, respectively.

In the business manager position, 55.9 percent, 65.7 and 63.8 percent of the positions were held by women at Divisions I, II and III respectively. The compliance coordinator/officer also had a strong representation of women at all three levels holding 54.4, 49.1 and 51.8 percent of the slots at each division.

See Tables 32 and 33.

## **HOW GRADES ARE CALCULATED**

Although no grade was issued for this year's study, it may be beneficial to know how last year's grades were calculated. The 2008 College Racial and Gender Report Card data showed that college athletics departments' hiring practices do not nearly reflect the number of student-athletes of color competing on their teams. However, to give it perspective for sports fans, The Institute issues the grades in relation to overall patterns in society. Federal affirmative action policies state that the workplace should reflect the percentage of the people in the racial group in the population. Thus, with approximately 24 percent of the population being people of color, an A was achieved if 24 percent of the positions were held by people of color, C if it had only nine percent, a D if it was at least 6 percent and F for anything below that.

For issues of gender, an **A** would be earned if 40 percent of the employees were women, **B** for 32 percent, **C** for 27 percent, **D** for 22 percent and **F** for anything below that. The 40 percent is also taken from the federal affirmative action standards. The Institute once again acknowledges that even those sports where grades are low generally have better records on race and gender than society as a whole.

## **METHODOLOGY**

All data was collected by a research team at The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport in the University of Central Florida's DeVos School of Sport Business Management.

Baseline data was gathered from the NCAA. The data was placed in spreadsheets with each position broken down by race and gender. The Institute's research team also gathered data from the FBS schools for presidents, athletic directors, football coaches and faculty athletics representatives as well as researched the diversity of the conferences.

The findings were compared to data from previous years. After evaluating the data, the report text was drafted and compared changes to statistics from previous years.

The report draft was sent to the NCAA Headquarters to be reviewed for accuracy. In addition, updates were requested for personnel changes that had occurred. The NCAA was very helpful with several changes that helped clarify the materials.

The report covers both the 2007-08 and 2008-2009 academic years depending upon the availability of data for particular positions. Listings of presidents, athletics directors, conference commissioners and associate commissioners in Football Bowl Subdivision (formerly known as Division IA) were updated as of October 2009, while the names and win-loss records of head football coaches were updated as of February 2010 in order to reflect the latest off-season coaching changes.

## ABOUT THE RACIAL AND GENDER REPORT CARD...

This is the 17<sup>th</sup> issue of the *Racial and Gender Report Card (RGRC)*, which is the definitive assessment of hiring practices of women and people of color in most of the leading professional and amateur sports and sporting organizations in the United Sates. The report considers the composition – assessed by racial and gender makeup – of players, coaches and front office/athletic department employees in our country's leading sports organizations, including the National Basketball Association (NBA), National Football League (NFL), Major League Baseball (MLB), Major League Soccer (MLS) and Women's National Basketball Association (WNBA), as well as in collegiate athletics departments.

The complete Racial and Gender Report Card, including all the leagues and college sport, will be issued next month now that the individual reports on the NBA and WNBA, NFL, MLB, MLS and college sport have been published.

The *Racial and Gender Report Card* is published by The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport (TIDES), which is part of the College of Business Administration at the University of Central Florida (UCF) in Orlando. Dr. Richard Lapchick has authored all reports, first at Northeastern and now at UCF. (Until 1998, the report was known as the *Racial Report Card*.)

## Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport (TIDES)

The Institute for Diversity and Ethics in Sport serves as a comprehensive resource for issues related to gender and race in amateur, collegiate and professional sports. TIDES researches and publishes annual studies on hiring practices in coaching and sport management, student-athlete graduation rates and racial attitudes in sports. Additionally, the Institute conducts diversity management training. The Institute also monitors some of the critical ethical issues in college and professional sport, including the potential for the exploitation of student-athletes, gambling, performance-enhancing drugs and violence in sport.

The Institute's founder and director is Dr. Richard Lapchick, a scholar, author and internationally recognized human rights activist and pioneer for racial equality who is acknowledged as an expert on sports issues. Described as "the racial conscience of sport," Lapchick is chair of the DeVos Sport Business Management Program in the College of Business Administration at UCF, where The Institute is located. In addition, Lapchick serves as president and CEO of the National Consortium for Academics and Sports (NCAS), a group of more than 220 colleges and universities that helps student-athletes complete their college degrees while serving their communities on issues such as diversity, conflict resolution and men's violence against women.

## **DeVos Sport Business Management Program**

College of Business Administration, University of Central Florida

The DeVos Sport Business Management Program is a landmark program focusing on business skills necessary for graduates to conduct successful careers in the rapidly changing and dynamic sports industry while also emphasizing diversity, community service and sport and social issues. It is the only program in a business college to offer a two degree option, allowing students to earn a master's of business administration (MBA) degree in addition to the master's of sport business management (MSBM) degree. The program was funded by a gift from the Richard and Helen DeVos Foundation and RDV Sports, with matching funds from the State of Florida.

# **APPENDIX I**

NCAA Executive/Senior/Vice Presidents					
	%	#		%	#
2009			2004		
White	83.33%	15	White	87.5%	14
African-American	16.67%	3	African-American	12.5%	2
Latino	0.00%	0	Latino	0.0%	0
Asian	0.00%	0	Asian	0.0%	0
Other	0.00%	0	Other	0.0%	0
Women	22.22%	4	Women	18.8%	3
Total	Х	18	Total	Х	16
2008			2003		
White	83.33%	15		Data Not	Recorded
African-American	16.67%	3	2002		
Latino	0.00%	0	White	81.0%	13
Asian	0.00%	0	African-American	19.0%	3
Other	0.00%	0	Latino	0.0%	0
Women	22.22%	4	Asian	0.0%	0
Total	Х	18	Other	0.0%	0
2007			Women	25.0%	4
White	82.4%	14	Total	Х	16
African-American	17.6%	3	2001		
Latino	0.0%	0		Data Not	Recorded
Asian	0.0%	0	2000		
Other	0.0%	0	White	83.3%	10
Women	23.5%	4	African-American	16.7%	3
Total	Х	17	Latino	0.0%	0
2006			Other	0.0%	0
White	81.3%	13	Women	26.7%	4
African-American	18.8%	3	Total	Х	15
Latino	0.0%	0	1999		
Asian	0.0%	0		Data Not	Recorded
Other	0.0%	0	1998		
Women	25.0%	4	White	81.2%	13
Total	Х	16	African-American	18.8%	3
2005			Latino	0.0%	0
	Data Not	Recorded	Other	0.0%	0
			Women	25.0%	4
			Total	Χ	16
Note: Data provided I	by the NCAA	Historically	/Black Institutions exc		
x= Data not recorded					ABLE 1

NCAA Managing Directors/Directors							
	%	#		%	#		
2009			2004				
White	75.71%	53	White	81.3%	33		
African-American	17.14%	12	African-American	12.5%	5		
Latino	4.29%	3	Latino	2.0%	1		
Asian	1.43%	1	Asian	4.0%	2		
Other	1.43%	1	Other	0.0%	0		
Women	41.43%	29	Women	48.0%	20		
Total	Х	70	Total	X	41		
2008			2003				
White	76.47%	52		Data Not	Recorded		
African-American	16.18%	11	2002				
Latino	2.94%	2	White	79.0%	Х		
Asian	4.41%	3	African-American	14.0%	Х		
Other	0.00%	0	Latino	5.0%	Х		
Women	41.10%	28	Asian	2.0%	х		
Total	Х	68	Other	0.0%	Х		
2007			Women	42.0%	Х		
White	77.4%	48	Total	Х	х		
African-American	14.5%	9	2001				
Latino	1.6%	1		Data Not	Recorded		
Asian	4.8%	3	2000				
Other	1.6%	1	White	82.9%	34		
Women	41.9%	26	African-American	9.7%	4		
Total	х	62	Latino	2.4%	1		
2006			Other	4.8%	2		
White	75.9%	44	Women	39.0%	16		
African-American	19.0%	11	Total	X	41		
Latino	1.7%	1	1999				
Asian	3.4%	2		Data Not	Recorded		
Other	0.0%	0	1998				
Women	41.4%	24	White	85.7%	36		
Total	х	58	African-American	7.1%	3		
2005			Latino	2.4%	1		
	Data Not	Recorded	Other	4.8%	2		
Note: Data provided	by the NCAA	Historically	/ Black Institutions ex	cluded.			
x= Data not recorded	1			T	ABLE 2		

NCAA Administrators						
	%	#		%	#	
2009			2004			
White	78.05%	160	White	76.7%	124	
African-American	18.05%	37	African-American	22.2%	37	
Latino	1.95%	4	Latino	0.0%	0	
Asian	1.95%	4	Asian	1.2%	2	
Other	0.00%	0	Other	0.0%	0	
Women	53.17%	109	Women	54.3%	88	
Total	х	205	Total	X	163	
2008			2003			
White	76.92%	150		Data Not	Recorded	
African-American	18.97%	37	2002			
Latino	2.05%	4	White	77.3%	х	
Asian	2.05%	4	African-American	22.2%	х	
Other	0.00%	0	Latino	0.0%	х	
Women	54.87%	107	Asian	<1%	х	
Total	х	195	Other	0.0%	х	
2007			Women	54.5%	х	
White	78.4%	145	Total	x	х	
African-American	18.4%	34	2001			
Latino	2.2%	4		Data Not	Recorded	
Asian	1.1%	2	2000			
Other	0.0%	0	White	76.6%	105	
Women	55.1%	102	African-American	21.9%	30	
Total	х	185	Latino	0.7%	1	
2006			Other	0.7%	1	
White	76.5%	х	Women	49.6%	68	
African-American	19.7%	х	Total	x	137	
Latino	1.1%	х	1999			
Asian	1.6%	х		Data Not	Recorded	
Other	1.1%	х	1998			
Women	55.2%	х	White	78.3%	90	
Total	х	х	African-American	19.1%	22	
2005			Latino	2.6%	3	
	Data Not	Recorded	Other	0.0%	0	
			Women	49.2%	53	
			Total	x	115	
Historically Black Ins	stitutions exc	luded.				
x= Data not recorded	I			T	ABLE 3	

	%	#		%	#
2009	7,0	"	2004	,,	
White	80.99%	98	White	85.1%	97
African-American	16.53%	20	African-American	12.3%	13
Latino	0.83%	1	Latino	0.9%	1
Asian	1.65%	2	Asian	1.7%	2
Other	0.00%	0	Other	0.0%	0
Women	95.04%	115	Women	90.4%	103
Total	х	121	Total	Х	113
2008			2003		
White	80.36%	90		Data Not	Recorded
African-American	16.07%	18	2002		
Latino	0.00%	0	White	84.5%	х
Asian	1.79%	2	African-American	13.0%	х
Other	1.79%	2	Latino	Х	х
Women	94.64%	106	Asian	Х	х
Total	Х	112	Other	2.5%	х
2007			Women	84.0%	х
White	80.0%	92	Total	Х	Х
African-American	16.5%	19	2001		
Latino	0.9%	1	Data Not F		Recorded
Asian	1.7%	2	2000		
Other	0.9%	1	White	84.0%	100
Women	93.0%	107	African-American	13.4%	16
Total	Х	115	Latino	0.8%	1
2006			Other	1.6%	2
White	81.6%	Х	Women	90.7%	108
African-American	15.8%	Х	Total	X	119
Latino	0.9%	Х	1999		
Asian	1.8%	Х		Data Not	Recorded
Other	0.0%	Х	1998		
Women	91.2%	Х	White	90.5%	105
Total	X	Х	African-American	6.9%	8
2005			Latino	0.0%	0
	Data Not	Recorded	Other	2.5%	3
			Women	94.8%	110
			Total	Х	122

Total Full-Time NCAA Staff					
	%	#			
2009					
White	78.7%	326			
African-American	17.4%	72			
Latino	1.9%	8			
Asian	1.7%	7			
Other	0.2%	1			
Women	62.1%	257			
Total	х	414			
2008					
White	78.1%	307			
African-American	17.6%	69			
Latino	0.0%	6			
Asian	2.3%	9			
Other	0.5%	2			
Women	62.3%	245			
Total	Х	393			
2007					
White	78.9%	299			
African-American	17.2%	65			
Latino	1.6%	6			
Asian	1.8%	7			
Other	0.5%	2			
Women	63.1%	239			
Total	х	379			
Note: Data provided by the NCAA.					
Data Calculated From Tables 1-4					
		TABLE 5			

Conference Commissioners						
Divisio	n IA		Division I			
DIVISIO	%	#				
2008-09	70		2008-09	/0	#	
White	100.0%	11		100.0%	30	
African-American	X	x	African-American	X	x	
Asian	x	x	Asian	x	x	
Latino	x	х	Latino	х	х	
Native American	x	х	Native American	х	х	
Other	x	х	Other	х	х	
Women	x	х	Women	16.7%	5	
Total	x	11	Total	х	30	
2007-08			2007-08			
White	100.0%	11	White	100.0%	30	
African-American	х	х	African-American	х	х	
Asian	x	х	Asian	х	х	
Latino	х	х	Latino	х	х	
Native American	х	х	Native American	х	х	
Other	x	х	Other	х	х	
Women	x	х	Women	10.0%	3	
Total	х	11	Total	х	30	
2006-07			2006-07			
White	100.0%	11	White	100.0%	36	
African-American	х	х	African-American	х	х	
Asian	х	х	Asian	х	х	
Latino	х	х	Latino	х	х	
Native American	х	х	Native American	Х	х	
Other	х	Х	Other	Х	х	
Women	х	X	Women	5.6%	2	
Total	Х	11	Total	Х	36	
2005-06	100.00/	11	2005-06	100.0%	20	
White	100.0%	11			36	
African-American	X	X	African-American	X	X	
Asian	X	X	Asian	X	X	
Latino	X	X	Latino	X	X	
Native American	X	X	Native American	X	X	
Other	X	X	Other	X 5.60/	X	
Women	X X	х 11	Women	5.6% x	2 36	
Total 2004-05	<b>X</b>	- 11	Total 2004-05	_ *	36	
	100.0%	11		100.0%	36	
African-American	X	X	African-American	X	X	
Asian	X	X	Asian	X	X	
Latino	X	X	Latino	X	X	
Native American	X	X	Native American	X	X	
Other	X	X	Other	X 5 69/	X	
Women	X	11	Women	5.6%	2 36	
Total 2003-04	Х	11	Total 2003-04	Х	30	
2003-04 White	100.0%	11	2003-04 White	100.0%	28	
African-American	100.0% X	X	African-American	X	20 X	
Arrican-American Asian	x x	X X	African-American Asian	X X	X X	
Latino	x x	X	Asian Latino	X	X X	
Native American	×	X	Native American	×	X	
Other	×	X	Other	×	x	
Women	×	X	Women	9.1%	3	
Total	x	11	Total	X	28	
Note: Data provided by the NCAA. Historically Black Institutions excluded.  x= Data not recorded TABLE 6						
x Data Hot recorded				I A	965 0	

Associate Conference Commissioners						
Division IA						
	%	#				
2008-09						
White	86.4%	51				
African-American	10.2%	6				
Asian	1.7%	1				
Latino	0.0%	0				
Native American	0.0%	0				
Other	1.7%	1				
Women	28.8%	17				
Total	100.0%	59				
2007-08						
	Data Not	Recorded				
2006-07						
	Data Not	Recorded				
2005-06						
	Data Not	Recorded				
2004-05						
White	92.3%	48				
African-American	5.8%	3				
Asian	1.9%	1				
Latino	0.0%	0				
Native American	0.0%	0				
Other	0.0%	0				
Women	25.0%	13				
Total	Х	52				
2003-04						
White	82.5%	22				
African-American	12.5%	5				
Asian	2.5%	1				
Latino	2.5%	1				
Native American	Х	Х				
Other	Х	Х				
Women	27.5%	11				
Total	Х	40				
Note: Data provided by	the NCAA. I	Historically				
Black Institutions excluded.						
x= Data not recorded		ABLE 7				

	N	Iale Stu	udent-A	thletes: Division I			
	Basketball	Football	Baseball		Basketball	Football	Basebal
2007-08				2001-02			
White	32.6%	46.6%	84.4%	White	32.3%	50.1%	83.4%
African-American	60.4%	46.4%	6.0%	African-American	57.7%	42.6%	6.9%
Latino	1.8%	2.4%	5.5%	Latino	1.5%	2.1%	5.2%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
Asian	0.4%	0.9%	1.1%	Asian	0.2%	1.4%	1.1%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	Non-Resident Aliens	4.8%	0.5%	1.1%
Two or More Races	0.5% 4.2%	0.3% 2.3%	0.1% 2.3%	Other 2000-01	3.2%	2.8%	1.9%
Other 2006-07	4.270	2.370	2.370	White	32.5%	49.4%	81.3%
White	32.5%	47.0%	84.5%	African-American	57.1%	42.1%	6.7%
African-American	60.4%	45.9%	6.0%	Latino	1.4%	2.1%	5.6%
Latino	1.8%	2.2%	5.4%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	Asian	0.2%	1.3%	0.9%
Asian	0.4%	1.6%	1.2%	Non-Resident Aliens	5.1%	1.7%	2.1%
Other	4.7%	2.9%	2.5%	Other	3.3%	2.9%	3.0%
2005-06				1999-00			
White	29.9%	47.1%	84.6%	White	34.6%	51.3%	83.0%
African-American	58.9%	45.4%	5.7%	African-American	55.0%	39.5%	6.6%
Latino	1.8%	2.1%	5.0%	Latino	1.6%	1.8%	4.3%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.9%	0.4%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%
Asian Non-Resident Aliens	0.5% 6.2%	1.6% 2.4%	1.1% 1.0%	Asian Non-Resident Aliens	0.3% 3.0%	1.3% 0.2%	1.1% 0.6%
Other	2.3%	0.4%	2.5%	Other	5.3%	5.7%	3.9%
2004-05	2.070	0.170	2.070	1998-99	0.070	0.1 70	0.070
White	31.9%	47.7%	83.7%	White	34.0%	46.9%	88.1%
African-American	57.8%	45.4%	6.5%	African-American	55.9%	46.4%	2.8%
Latino	1.5%	2.3%	5.4%	Latino	1.4%	1.9%	4.7%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%
Asian	0.4%	1.6%	1.2%	Asian	0.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Non-Resident Aliens	5.4%	0.4%	1.0%	Non-Resident Aliens	5.5%	1.0%	1.4%
Other	2.5%	2.3%	1.9%	Other	2.6%	1.9%	1.7%
2003-04				1997 - 98			
White	31.6%	48.3%	83.8%		Data	Not Recor	ded
African-American	58.2%	44.3%	6.1%	1996-97			
Latino	1.5%	2.4%	4.9%	White	33.8%	46.9%	89.5%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	African-American	57.3%	47.6%	3.0%
Asian	0.2%	1.6%	1.2%	Latino	1.5%	1.9%	4.3%
Non-Resident Aliens	5.7%	0.6%	1.3%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
Other	2.5%	2.4%	2.1%	Asian		1.2%	0.6%
2002-03	20.001	40.001	04.404	Non-Resident Aliens	4.4%	0.6%	0.9%
White	32.3% 57.9%	49.3%	84.1% 6.1%	Other 1992 - 96	2.5%	1.5%	1.2%
African-American Latino	57.9% 1.3%	43.8% 2.2%	6.1% 5.1%	1992 - 90 	Data	Not Recor	ded
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	1991-92	Dala	THUL TRECOF	uou
Asian	0.4%	1.6%	1.2%	White	34.5%	53.2%	90.0%
Non-Resident Aliens	5.3%	0.5%	1.2%	African-American	61.8%	42.7%	4.3%
Other	2.6%	2.3%	2.0%	Latino	0.8%	1.4%	3.9%
				American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
				Asian	0.2%	1.0%	0.7%
				Non-Resident Aliens	х	x	x
				Other	2.5%	1.4%	0.8%
	Historically B	lack institu	itions exclu	ded. Only student-athletes receiving	financial aid		
are included in this report.						-	ADLE
x=Data not recorded							ABLE 8

	Fe	emale St	udent-A	Athletes: Division I			
	Basketball	Outdoor Track	Softball		Basketball	Outdoor Track	Softball
2007-08				2002-03			
White	42.6%	60.2%	78.5%	White	48.7%	61.0%	79.9%
African-American	50.1%	29.5%	7.7%	African-American	40.9%	27.6%	9.1%
Latino	1.3%	3.4%	7.2%	Latino	1.7%	3.0%	4.8%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.4%	0.8%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%
Asian	1.1%	1.4%	2.0%	Asian	1.2%	1.4%	1.9%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	Non-Resident Aliens	4.5%	3.7%	1.0%
Two or More Races	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%	Other	2.4%	3.0%	2.8%
Other	3.9%	4.7%	2.7%	2001-02			
2006-07				White	50.2%	60.5%	80.7%
White	44.4%	60.8%	78.6%	African-American	39.7%	28.0%	9.0%
African-American	47.4%	28.7%	8.5%	Latino	1.7%	3.0%	4.1%
Latino	2.0%	3.6%	6.8%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	Asian	0.8%	1.0%	1.7%
Asian	1.1%	1.4%	2.3%	Non-Resident Aliens	4.3%	3.6%	1.0%
Other	4.7%	4.9%	3.2%	Other	2.8%	3.3%	2.8%
2005-06			_	2000-01			
White	44.3%	60.0%	79.2%	White	50.6%	58.1%	68.9%
African-American	44.6%	27.7%	7.8%	African-American	38.6%	28.1%	8.4%
Latino	1.6%	3.4%	6.7%	Latino	1.7%	2.5%	3.1%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%
Asian	1.6%	1.3%	1.9%	Asian	0.8%	1.0%	3.6%
Non-Resident Aliens	5.2%	4.5%	1.7%	Non-Resident Aliens	5.0%	5.7%	6.8%
Other	2.4%	2.7%	2.4%	Other	2.8%	4.2%	8.9%
2004-05				1999-00			
White	44.6%	59.7%	80.6%	White	53.6%	61.9%	80.3%
African-American	43.7%	28.5%	7.9%	African-American	35.7%	26.8%	8.6%
Latino	1.6%	3.2%	5.9%	Latino	1.5%	2.4%	3.5%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%
Asian	1.3%	1.2%	1.9%	Asian	0.7%	0.8%	1.3%
Non-Resident Aliens	5.8%	3.9%	1.2%	Non-Resident Aliens	2.4%	2.1%	0.6%
Other	2.5%	3.1%	2.0%	Other	5.6%	5.7%	5.2%
2003-04			1				
White	46.8%	59.6%	79.4%				
African-American	41.6%	28.1%	9.1%				
Latino	1.8%	3.2%	5.1%				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%				
Asian	1.3%	1.2%	2.1%				
Non-Resident Aliens	5.3%	4.3%	1.3%				
Other	2.8%	3.2%	2.3%				

Other 2.8% 3.2% 2.3%

Note: Data provided by the NCAA. Historically Black institutions excluded. Only student-athletes receiving financial aid are included in this report.

\*\*Pata not recorded\*\*

\*\*TABLE 9\*\*

	Stud	ent-Athle	etes: Division I		
	Male	Female		Male	Female
2007-08		•	2001-02		
White	64.3%	71.9%	White	63.1%	72.1%
African-American	25.0%	15.9%	African-American	24.3%	14.7%
Latino	3.9%	3.7%	Latino	3.4%	2.8%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.5%	2.5%	Asian	1.5%	1.8%
Two or More Races	0.2%	0.3%	Non-Resident Aliens	3.8%	4.2%
Other	4.3%	5.2%	Other	3.7%	3.9%
2006-07		•	2000-01		
White	64.2%	72.1%	White	61.6%	70.4%
African-American	24.7%	15.7%	African-American	24.3%	14.8%
Latino	3.8%	3.7%	Latino	3.3%	2.6%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.6%	2.3%	Asian	1.4%	1.7%
Other	5.3%	5.8%	Non-Resident Aliens	4.7%	5.4%
2005-06		•	Other	4.4%	4.8%
White	61.7%	70.1%	1999-00		
African-American	24.6%	15.1%	White	64.4%	72.6%
Latino	3.6%	3.5%	African-American	22.9%	13.8%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.4%	Latino	2.8%	2.4%
Asian	1.7%	2.2%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.3%
Non-Resident Aliens	4.6%	5.6%	Asian	1.4%	1.6%
Other	3.1%	3.1%	Non-Resident Aliens	2.4%	2.4%
2004-05		•	Other	5.8%	6.7%
White	62.2%	70.5%	1998-99		
African-American	24.8%	15.4%	White	61.6%	75.8%
Latino	3.7%	3.3%	African-American	25.5%	10.7%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	Latino	3.1%	2.9%
Asian	1.7%	2.2%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.6%
Non-Resident Aliens	4.1%	4.9%	Asian	1.3%	1.6%
Other	3.1%	3.3%	Non-Resident Aliens	6.0%	6.3%
2003-04		•	Other	2.0%	2.5%
White	62.3%	70.6%	1997-98		
African-American	24.6%	14.9%		Data Not	Recorded
Latino	3.6%	3.3%	1996-97		
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	White	62.3%	78.2%
Asian	1.6%	2.1%	African-American	26.2%	11.1%
Non-Resident Aliens	4.4%	5.0%	Latino	3.1%	2.8%
Other	3.2%	3.7%	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.5%
2002-03		•	Asian	1.6%	1.9%
White	62.6%	71.9%	Non-Resident Aliens	4.5%	3.8%
African-American	24.6%	14.8%	Other	1.8%	1.8%
Latino	3.3%	2.9%	1992-96		
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.3%		Data Not	Recorded
Asian	1.6%	2.0%	1991-92		
Non-Resident Aliens	4.1%	4.5%	White	66.2%	79.8%
Other	3.4%	3.7%	African-American	26.5%	12.8%
			Latino	2.5%	2.2%
			American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.3%	0.3%
			Asian	0.2%	1.5%
			Non-Resident Aliens	x	x
			Other	4.3%	3.4%

Note: Data provided by the NCAA. Historically Black institutions excluded. Only student-athletes receiving financial aid are included in this report.

x=Data not recorded TABLE 10

Dennis Green Sta Sta Cleve Bryant Ohi Wayne Nunnely Las Francis Peay Nor Willie Brown Lor James Caldwell Wa Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCl Sylvester Croom Mis	Team  Chita State Ithwestern Inford Ithou University Ithwestern Ithge Beach State Ithwestern Ithge Beach State Ithere Ith	5 5 3 5 4 6 1 8 2 3 4	21-32-0 10-45-0 16-18-0 9-44-2 19-25-0 13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5 9-13-0
Dennis Green Sta Sta Cleve Bryant Ohi Wayne Nunnely Las Francis Peay Nor Willie Brown Lor James Caldwell Wa Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel Ner Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCl Sylvester Croom Mis	o University Vegas Thw estern The Beach State Referent Michigan This ville The State Referent Michigan The State Reference Michigan The S	5 3 5 4 6 1 8 2 3	10-45-0 16-18-0 9-44-2 19-25-0 13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5
Dennis Green Sta Sta Cleve Bryant Ohi Wayne Nunnely Las Francis Peay Nor Willie Brown Lor James Caldwell Wa Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCL Sylvester Croom Mis	o University Vegas Thw estern The Beach State Referent Michigan This ville The State Referent Michigan The State Reference Michigan The S	5 3 5 4 6 1 8 2 3	10-45-0 16-18-0 9-44-2 19-25-0 13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5
Cleve Bryant Ohi Wayne Nunnely Francis Peay Nor Willie Brown James Caldwell Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom Mis	o University vegas thw estern g Beach State ke Forest stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	3 5 4 6 1 8 2	16-18-0 9-44-2 19-25-0 13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5
Cleve Bryant Wayne Nunnely Francis Peay Willie Brown James Caldwell Ron Cooper  Matt Simon Bob Simmons John Blake Tony Samuel Nev Son Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Ron Dickerson Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham  Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom  Norw Norm Way Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom  Norm Norm Norm Norm Norm Norm Norm	o University s Vegas thw estern ng Beach State ke Forest stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	5 4 6 1 8 2	9-44-2 19-25-0 13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5
Wayne Nunnely Francis Peay Nor Willie Brown James Caldwell Ron Cooper Lou Matt Simon Bob Simmons John Blake Tony Samuel Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Ron Dickerson Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom Misters Nor Wasters Nor Wast	s Vegas thw estern ng Beach State ke Forest stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	4 6 1 8 2 3	19-25-0 13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5
Francis Peay Willie Brown James Caldwell Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Bob Simmons John Blake Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Ron Dickerson Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom Mis	thw estern ng Beach State ke Forest stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	6 1 8 2 3	13-51-1 2-8-2 14-41-5
Willie Brown James Caldwell Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Bob Simmons John Blake Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Ron Dickerson Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom Wa	ng Beach State ke Forest stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	1 8 2 3	2-8-2 14-41-5
James Caldwell Ron Cooper Lou Matt Simon Bob Simmons John Blake Tony Samuel Nev Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Ron Dickerson Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom Wa	ke Forest stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	8 2 3	14-41-5
Ron Cooper Eas Lou Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldw in Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCL Sylvester Croom Mis	stern Michigan uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	2	_
Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldw in Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta	uisville versity of North Texas ahoma State	3	9-13-0
Matt Simon Uni Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldw in Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCL Sylvester Croom Mis	versity of North Texas ahoma State	-	
Bob Simmons Okl John Blake Okl Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCL Sylvester Croom Mis	ahoma State	4	13-20-0
John Blake Tony Samuel New Sou Jerry Baldwin Bobby Williams Ron Dickerson Fitzgerald Hill Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom New			18-26-1
Tony Samuel Sou Sou Jerry Baldwin Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCI Sylvester Croom Mis	ahoma	6	29-37-1
Jerry Baldwin  Bobby Williams  Ron Dickerson  Fitzgerald Hill  Tyrone Willingham  Karl Dorrell  Sylvester Croom  Son  Jerry Baldwin  Mic  Son  Ter  Ter  Ter  Ter  Ter  Ter  Ter  Te		3	11-21-0
Jerry Baldwin Lou Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCC Sylvester Croom Mis	w Mexico State	8	39-57
Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UC Sylvester Croom Mis	utheast Missouri State	3	7-20
Bobby Williams Mic Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UC Sylvester Croom Mis	uisiana Lafayette	3	6-27-0
Ron Dickerson Ter Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UCI Sylvester Croom Mis	higan State	2	12-11-0
Fitzgerald Hill Sar Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UC Sylvester Croom Mis	mple	5	8-47
Tyrone Willingham Sta Not Wa Karl Dorrell UC Sylvester Croom Mis	n Jose State	4	14-32-0
Not Wa Karl Dorrell UC Sylvester Croom Mis	nford	7	44-36-1
Warl Dorrell UCI Sylvester Croom Mis	re Dame	2	21-15-0
Karl Dorrell Sylvester Croom Mis	shington	4	11-37
Sylvester Croom Mis	-	5	35-27
	sissippi State	5	21-38
Barry Alvarez Wis	sconsin	16	118-73-4
	nsas State	3	17-20
	falo	4	20-30
	nsas	0	0-0
Randy Shannon Mia	mi	3	21-17
	rida International	3	9-27
	/al Academy	2	18-9
	uston	2	18-9
	w Mexico State	1	3-10
	stern Michigan	1	0-12
	mi (Ohio)	1	1-11
	w Mexico	1	1-11
	uisville	0	0-0
	stern Kentucky	0	0-0
	=	0	0-0
	mphis	0	0-0
	ginia	0	
	atucky.	-	0-0
Ruffin McNeill Eas	ntucky st Carolina	0	0-0

	Bask	etball	Foot	ball	Bas	eball		Bask	etball	Foot	ball	Base	eball
	%	#	%	#	%	#		%	#	%	#	%	#
			Divis	ion I						Divisio	n I, II,	III	
008-09							2008-09						
			Data Not I	Recorde	d					Data Not I	Recorde	d	
2007-08							2007-08					,	
White	75.8%	Х	94.0%	Х	95.9%	Х	White	85.1%	Х	95.2%	Х	94.7%	х
African-American	22.9%	x	5.1%	Х	0.4%	Х	African-American	12.8%	Х	3.2%	Х	1.0%	х
Asian	0.0% 0.7%	X	0.0%	X	1.5% 2.2%	X	Asian	0.2%	X	0.0%	X	0.8%	X
Latino Native American	0.7%	X X	0.5% 0.0%	x x	0.0%	X X	Latino Native American	0.9% 0.2%	X X	0.5% 0.2%	x x	2.9% 0.1%	X X
Native American Other	0.3%	X	0.5%	X	0.0%	×	Native American Other	0.2%	X	0.2%	X	0.1%	X
006-07	0.570	_^	0.570		0.070		2006-07	0.270	^	0.570	^	0.270	^
			Data Not I	Recorde	d					Data Not I	Recorde	d	
005-06							2005-06						
White	73.9%	х	92.7%	х	95.9%	х	White	84.2%	х	95.4%	х	95.3%	х
African-American	25.2%	х	6.1%	х	0.5%	х	African-American	14.0%	х	3.2%	х	0.7%	х
Asian	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	Asian	0.3%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х
Latino	0.5%	x	1.2%	Х	2.6%	Х	Latino	1.0%	x	0.7%	Х	2.7%	х
Native American	0.5%	x	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х	Native American	0.3%	x	0.0%	Х	0.2%	х
Other	0.0%	X	0.0%	Х	1.0%	Х	Other	0.0%	Х	0.5%	Х	0.7%	х
004-05			5				2004-05						
002.04	_	_	Data Not I	Recorde	d	_	2002.04		_	Data Not I	Recorde	d	-
2003-04 White	76.4%	201	96.0%	179	96.4%	217	2003-04 White	86.0%	713	97.7%	502	96.2%	702
African-American	23.2%	61	2.9%	4	0.9%	217	African-American	12.3%	102	1.6%	8	0.7%	5
Other	0.4%	1	1.1%	2	2.7%	6	Other	1.7%	14	0.8%	4	3.1%	23
2001 -03	51.70		,0	-	2 /3	Ļ	2001-03	,0		0.070		51170	
			Data Not I	Recorde	d					Data Not I	Recorde	d	
000-01							2000-01						
White	76.7%	x	96.9%	Х	97.5%	х	White	86.6%	х	97.1%	х	96.8%	х
African-American	22.9%	x	2.1%	Х	0.0%	х	African-American	12.7%	Х	2.0%	Х	0.4%	х
Other	0.4%	x	1.0%	Х	2.5%	Х	Other	0.7%	Х	0.9%	Х	2.8%	х
999-2000							1999-2000						
White	78.0%	х	95.3%	Х	95.2%	Х	White	85.9%	х	97.3%	Х	96.0%	Х
African-American	21.6%	Х	4.7%	Х	0.4%	Х	African-American	12.7%	Х	1.8%	Х	0.4%	Х
Other 1998-99	0.3%	Х	0.0%	Х	4.4%	Х	Other 1998-99	1.4%	Х	0.9%	Х	3.6%	Х
990-99			Data Not I	Pacarda	d		1990-99			Data Not I	Pacarda	d	
1997-98			Data NOLI	\ecorue	u		1997-98			Data NOT	Necorde	u	-
White	79.9%	х	92.2%	х	96.7%	х	White	87.2%	х	97.0%	х	96.7%	х
African-American	19.4%	X	7.8%	X	0.4%	X	African-American	12.2%	X	2.6%	X	0.7%	X
Other	0.7%	X	0.0%	X	2.9%	Х	Other	0.6%	Х	0.4%	Х	2.6%	x
996-97		•					1996-97					•	
			Data Not I	Recorde	d					Data Not I	Recorde	d	
995-96							1995-96						
White	81.5%	х	94.4%	х	97.6%	х	White	87.3%	х	96.5%	х	97.6%	х
African-American	17.4%	х	5.6%	Х	0.0%	х	African-American		Х	2.7%	Х	0.8%	х
Other	1.0%	х	0.0%	х	2.4%	х	Other	1.5%	х	0.7%	х	1.6%	Х

	Women Head Coaches											
	Men's	Sports	Women'	s Sports								
	%	#	%	#								
2008-09												
		Data Not	Recorded									
2007-08												
Division I	2.8%	Х	40.3%	Х								
Division II	3.7% x 33.1% x											
Division III	4.8%	Х	43.0%	Х								
institutions e	Note: Data provided by the NCAA. Historically Black institutions excluded. Only student-athletes receiving financial aid are included in this report.											
x=Data not r	ecorded		T	ABLE 13								

	Divi	sion I	Divis	ion II	Divis	ion III				
	Men's Sports	Women's Sports	Men's Sports	Women's Sports	Men's Sports	Women's Sports				
2008-09		•								
Data Not Recorded										
2007-08										
White	89.2%	87.7%	88.7%	88.9%	92.5%	91.9%				
African-American	7.2%	7.0%	5.3%	5.1%	4.0%	4.4%				
Asian	0.9%	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	0.6%	1.2%				
Latino	1.6%	2.1%	3.9%	2.8%	1.5%	1.2%				
Native American	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%				
2006-07										
			Data Not	Recorded						
2005-06										
White	90.6%	89.6%	89.5%	89.9%	93.4%	92.9%				
African-American	7.3%	6.6%	4.4%	4.3%	4.1%	4.2%				
Asian	0.4%	1.1%	0.7%	1.2%	0.6%	1.2%				
Latino	1.1%	1.6%	3.6%	2.9%	1.5%	1.3%				
Native American	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%				

	Co	llege H	ead Co	aches:	Divisio	n I				
		Men's	Sports			Women'	s Sports			
	M	en	Wo	men	M	en	Wo	men		
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
2008-09										
				Data Not	Recorded					
2007-08										
White	87.1%	Х	2.1%	Х	52.4%	Х	35.3%	Х		
African-American	6.5%	Х	0.7%	Х	3.7%	Х	3.3%	Х		
Asian	0.9%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.9%	Х	0.5%	Х		
Latino	1.6%	Х	0.0%	Х	1.3%	Х	0.8%	Х		
Native American	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х		
Other	0.9%	Х	0.0%	Х	1.1%	Х	0.4%	Х		
Total	97.1%	Х	2.8%	Х	59.5%	Х	40.3%	Х		
2006-07										
		_	_	Data Not	Recorded	_	_	_		
2005-06	07.00/		0.00/		54.00/		25.00/			
White	87.8%	Х	2.8%	Х	54.3%	Х	35.3%	Х		
African-American	6.7%	Х	0.6%	Х	3.6%	Х	3.0%	Х		
Asian	0.4%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.8%	Х	0.3%	Х		
Latino	1.1%	Х	0.0%	Х	1.2%	Х	0.4%	Х		
Native American	0.1%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.1%	Х		
Other	0.5%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.4%	Х	0.5%	Х		
Total	96.6%	Х	3.6%	Х	60.4%	Х	39.6%	Х		
2004-05										
2000 04				Data Not	Recorded					
2003-04	07.00/	0000	4.00/	45	50.50/	40.40	00.00/	005		
White	87.6%	2030	1.9%	45	52.5%	1349	38.8%	995		
African-American	7.2%	167	0.5%	11	3.4%	106	1.6%	79		
Asian	0.5%	12	0.0%	1	0.9%	23	0.3%	10		
Latino	1.4%	33	0.1%	2	1.3%	26	0.4%	8		
Native American	0.1%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.1%	2		
Other	0.6%	14	0.0%	0	0.6%	17	0.1%	6		
Total	97.4%	2258	2.5%	60	58.7%	1522	41.3%	1100		
2001-03				Data Nat	Dagandad					
2000 04				Data Not	Recorded					
2000-01	07.40/	.,	2.50/	l ,,	E4 20/	.,	20.40/			
White African-American	87.4% 6.9%	x x	2.5% 0.4%	x x	51.2% 4.0%	x x	38.1% 3.2%	x x		
Arrican-American Asian	0.9%	×	0.4%	X	0.9%		0.4%	×		
Latino	1.4%	×	0.0%	X X	0.9%	x x	0.4%	X		
Native American	0.0%	×	0.1%	X	0.9%	X X	0.5%	X		
Native American Other	0.0%	×	0.0%	X	0.6%	X	0.1%	X		
Total Women	U. 1 76 X	×	3.0%	X X	0.6% X	X X	42.3%	X		
1999-00	^		3.570				72.070			
				Data Not	Recorded					
1998-99				Data 1401						
White	89.8%	х	2.0%	х	52.5%	х	39.1%	х		
African-American	5.9%	×	0.1%	×	3.1%	X	2.6%	X		
Other	2.2%	×	0.1%	X	2.2%	x	0.4%	X		
Other	2.2/0									
Total Women	Y	~	0.2%	~	~	~	42 1%			
Total Women Note: Data provided by	X the NCAA	X	0.2%	X estitutions o	X	Х	42.1%	Х		

	Со	llege H	ead Co	aches:	Divisio	n II		
		Men's	Sports			Women'	s Sports	
	M	en		men	M	en		men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09								
				Data Not	Recorded			
2007-08								
White	85.2%	х	3.5%	х	58.6%	х	30.3%	х
African-American	5.3%	х	0.0%	х	3.7%	х	1.4%	х
Asian	1.0%	х	0.1%	х	1.1%	х	0.2%	х
Latino	3.8%	х	0.1%	х	2.2%	х	0.6%	х
Native American	0.2%	х	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	0.3%	х
Other	0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.3%	х
Total	95.9%	х	3.7%	х	66.4%	х	33.1%	х
2006-07			•				•	
				Data Not	Recorded			
2005-06								
White	86.6%	х	2.9%	х	58.8%	х	31.1%	х
African-American	4.2%	х	0.2%	х	3.0%	х	1.3%	х
Asian	0.6%	х	0.1%	Х	0.9%	х	0.3%	х
Latino	3.5%	х	0.1%	Х	2.2%	х	0.7%	х
Native American	0.6%	х	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	0.0%	х
Other	1.1%	х	0.1%	х	1.3%	х	0.1%	х
Total	96.6%	х	3.4%	х	66.5%	х	33.5%	х
2004-05			•				•	
				Data Not	Recorded			
2003-04								
White	87.6%	1369	2.9%	46	57.2%	972	33.6%	571
African-American	3.4%	53	0.0%	0	3.0%	51	0.7%	12
Asian	0.9%	14	0.0%	0	0.8%	13	0.2%	3
Latino	3.8%	59	0.1%	1	2.2%	37	0.9%	15
Native American	0.3%	5	0.0%	0	0.2%	3	0.0%	0
Other	1.0%	15	0.1%	1	1.1%	18	0.2%	3
Total	97.0%	1515	3.0%	48	64.5%	1094	35.5%	604
2001-03								
				Data Not	Recorded			
2000-01								
White	88.6%	х	4.6%	х	58.4%	х	34.4%	х
African-American	3.5%	х	0.3%	х	2.5%	х	1.2%	х
Asian	0.8%	х	0.1%	х	1.0%	х	0.2%	х
Latino	1.2%	х	0.0%	х	0.7%	х	0.3%	х
Native American	0.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.1%	х	0.0%	х
Other	0.8%	х	0.1%	х	0.9%	х	0.4%	х
Total Women	х	х	5.1%	х	х	х	36.5%	х
1999-2000								
				Data Not	Recorded			
1998-99								
White	88.0%	х	3.2%	х	58.7%	х	33.3%	х
African-American	3.4%	х	0.2%	х	2.4%	х	1.0%	х
Other	4.6%	х	0.4%	х	3.7%	х	0.7%	х
Total Women	х	х	3.8%	х	х	х	35.0%	х
Note: Data provided by	the NCAA	. Historica	ally Black in	stitutions e	exclude <u>d.</u>			
Only student-athletes								
x=Data not recorded							TAI	BLE 16

		Men's	Sports			Women	s Sports				
	M	en	Wo	men	M	en	Wo	men			
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#			
008-09											
				Data Not	Recorded						
007-08											
White	88.0%	Х	4.5%	Х	51.4%	Х	40.5%	Х			
African-American	3.8%	Х	0.2%	Х	3.2%	Х	1.2%	Х			
Asian	0.6%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.7%	Х	0.5%	Х			
Latino	1.5%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.9%	Х	0.3%	Х			
Native American	0.2%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х			
Other	0.9%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.5%	Х	0.5%	Х			
Total	95.0%	Х	4.8%	х	56.8%	Х	43.0%	Х			
006-07											
2005.00				Data Not	Recorded						
005-06	00.50/		0.004	1	E4 00/		44.007				
White	89.5%	Х	3.9%	х	51.6%	Х	41.3%	Х			
African-American	3.7%	Х	0.4%	Х	2.9%	Х	1.3%	Х			
Asian	0.6%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.8%	Х	0.4%	Х			
Latino	1.5%	Х	0.0%	Х	1.1%	Х	0.2%	Х			
Native American	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х			
Other	0.3%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.2%	Х	0.2%	Х			
Total	95.7%	Х	4.3%	Х	56.6%	Х	43.4%	Х			
004-05											
	Data Not Recorded										
003-04											
White	88.9%	2667	4.1%	123	50.9%	1668	42.1%	1379			
African-American	3.8%	113	0.3%	9	3.0%	99	1.0%	33			
Asian	0.4%	12	0.0%	1	0.6%	21	0.4%	12			
Latino	1.5%	45	0.0%	0	1.0%	33	0.2%	7			
Native American	0.2%	6	0.0%	0	0.2%	6	0.0%	0			
Other	0.7%	21	0.0%	0	0.5%	16	0.2%	5			
Total	95.5%	2864	4.4%	133	56.2%	1843	43.9%	1436			
001-03											
Į.				Data Not	Recorded						
000-01			1	1	1		1				
White	87.4%	Х	5.9%	Х	50.7%	Х	42.7%	Х			
African-American	3.5%	Х	0.3%	Х	2.7%	Х	1.3%	Х			
Asian	0.4%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.6%	Х	0.2%	Х			
Latino	1.5%	Х	0.0%	Х	1.2%	Х	0.4%	Х			
Native American	0.2%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х			
Other	0.4%	Х	0.1%	х	0.1%	Х	0.1%	Х			
Total Women	Х	Х	6.4%	х	Х	Х	44.7%	Х			
999-00											
				Data Not	Recorded						
998-99											
White	89.8%	Х	4.1%	Х	49.2%	Х	44.2%	Х			
African-American	3.5%	Х	0.1%	Х	2.6%	Х	1.2%	Х			
	2 40/	X	0.1%	X	2.0%	Х	0.8%	Х			
Other	2.4%										
	х	Х	4.3%	Х	Х	Х	46.2%	х			

		Bask	etball		Cro	ss Cou	intry/Tr	ack	А	II Othe	er Spor	ts
	M	en	Wor	nen	M	en	Wor	nen	Me			men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
008-09												
						Data Not	Recorded	i				
2007-08			l ·		1		I I				1	
White	31.9%	Х	53.7%	Х	66.8%	Х	13.1%	Х	52.3%	Х	39.6%	Х
African-American	2.9%	Х	10.7%	X	10.1%	Х	6.2%	X	1.4%	X	0.9%	X
Asian	0.0%	X	0.0%	X	0.6%	X	0.0%	X	0.6%	X	0.6%	X
Latino	0.3% 0.0%	X	0.3% 0.0%	X	1.5% 0.0%	X	0.9% 0.0%	X	1.4%	X	0.5%	X
Native American		X	0.0%	X	0.0%	X		X	0.4%	X	0.0%	X
Other	0.0% 35.1%	X X	64.7%	X	79.2%	X	0.0% 20.2%	X	1.3% 57.4%	X	0.5% 42.1%	X
Total   2007-06	35.1%	Х	04.7%	Х	79.2%	Х	20.2%	Х	57.4%	Х	42.1%	Х
						Data Not	Recorded	1				
2005-06						Jaia NUl	recorde(	•				
White	32.6%	х	54.0%	х	69.5%	х	14.2%	X	x	Х	х	х
African-American	2.8%	X	9.3%	X	8.7%	X	6.0%	X	X	X X	X	X
Arrican-American Asian	0.0%	X	0.5%	X	0.0%	x x	0.0%	X	X	X	x	X
Latino	0.5%	X	0.5%	X	1.0%	X X	0.0%	X	X	X	X	X
Native American	0.0%	X	0.5%	X	0.0%	x	0.2%	x	×	X	x	X
Other	0.0%	X	0.0%	X	0.0%	X	0.2%	X	×	X	x	X
Total	35.9%	X	64.3%	X	79.2%	X	20.8%	X	×	X	x	X
2004-05	00.070	^	04.070		73.270	^	20.070				_ ^	_ ^
					[	Data Not	Recorded	1				
2003-04												
White	29.9%	78	59.4%	155	65.3%	458	15.0%	105	47.1%	813	46.5%	73
African-American	1.9%	5	7.7%	20	11.0%	77	6.3%	44	1.4%	25	1.1%	15
Asian	0.4%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	3	0.0%	0	0.8%	16	0.4%	9
Latino	0.4%	1	0.0%	0	1.4%	10	0.3%	2	1.2%	19	0.2%	6
Native American	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.1%	1
Other	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.8%	16	0.4%	6
Total	32.6%	85	67.5%	176	78.3%	549	21.7%	152	51.3%	889	48.8%	77
2001-03												
					[	Data Not	Recorded	ł				
2000-01												
White	29.2%	Х	57.7%	Х	65.4%	Х	14.6%	х	50.6%	Х	43.4%	х
African-American	1.5%	х	9.9%	х	10.5%	х	6.2%	x	1.7%	х	0.6%	х
Asian	0.4%	х	0.4%	х	0.5%	х	0.3%	х	0.4%	х	0.1%	х
Latino	0.7%	х	0.4%	Х	0.4%	х	0.0%	Х	1.5%	Х	0.0%	х
Native American	0.0%	х	0.0%	Х	0.0%	х	0.7%	Х	0.2%	Х	0.0%	х
Other	0.0%	х	0.0%	Х	0.3%	х	0.3%	Х	0.4%	Х	0.1%	х
Total Women	х	Х	68.4%	Х	х	Х	22.1%	х	x	Х	44.2%	Х
999-00												
					[	Data Not	Recorded	t				
998-99												
White	31.3%	Х	59.7%	Х	69.7%	Х	15.1%	Х	48.9%	Х	44.5%	х
African-American	1.4%	х	5.9%	Х	8.8%	Х	4.8%	Х	1.3%	Х	1.3%	х
Other	0.7%	Х	1.0%	Х	1.6%	Х	0.0%	Х	3.4%	Х	0.6%	Х
Total Women	х	Х	66.7%	Х	х	Х	19.9%	Х	х	Х	46.5%	Х
lote: Data provided by	the NC	AA. His	torically E	Black ins	stitutions	exclude	d. Only s	tudent-a	thletes re	eceiving	financial	aid a

	Colle	ege Ass	sistant (	Coache	s: Divis	ion I		
		Men's	Sports			Women	's Sports	
		en 		men 	M			nen 
2000.00	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09				Data Not	Recorded			
2007-08				Data Not	Recorded			
White	71.3%	х	5.6%	х	39.3%	x	39.8%	×
African-American	16.5%	x	1.2%	x	6.7%	x	6.8%	x
Asian	0.6%	x	0.1%	X	1.0%	x	0.8%	x
Latino	1.7%	x	0.2%	X	1.7%	X	1.0%	x
Native American	0.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.1%	х	0.1%	х
Other	1.4%	х	0.1%	х	1.5%	х	0.9%	х
Total	91.6%	х	7.2%	х	50.3%	x	49.4%	х
2006-07								
				Data Not	Recorded			
2005-06								
White	72.6%	х	6.6%	х	39.3%	х	42.3%	х
African-American	16.3%	х	1.3%	х	6.3%	х	6.9%	х
Asian	0.6%	х	0.2%	х	1.2%	х	0.9%	х
Latino	1.4%	х	0.1%	х	1.1%	х	0.6%	х
Native American	0.1%	х	0.1%	х	0.1%	х	0.1%	х
Other	0.7%	х	0.1%	х	0.5%	х	0.7%	х
Total	91.7%	Х	8.4%	х	48.5%	х	51.5%	х
2004-05								
l				Data Not	Recorded			
2003-04			1					
White	72.3%	3875	5.9%	319	39.5%	1772	41.4%	1861
African-American	16.9%	905	1.3%	69	5.9%	267	7.4%	331
Asian	0.7%	38	0.1%	6	1.1%	49	1.0%	45
Latino	1.7%	92	0.1%	4	1.2%	56	0.8%	34
Native American	0.1%	8	0.1%	3 7	0.2%	7	0.2%	4
Other	0.7% 92.4%	36 4954	0.1% 7.6%	7 408	0.6% 48.5%	28 2179	0.8% 51.5%	37 2312
Total   2001-03	92.4%	4954	7.0%	400	40.5%	2179	31.3%	2312
2001-03				Data Not	Recorded			
2000-01	_	_	_	Data Not	recorded	_	_	_
White	73.0%	х	5.5%	х	40.7%	х	40.0%	х
African-American	16.5%	x	1.3%	х	6.8%	x	7.4%	x
- Interneting		1		l ^			0.6%	x
Asian	0.6%	X	0.1%	X	1.2%	X		
Asian Latino	0.6% 1.8%	x x	0.1% 0.1%	x x	1.2% 1.4%	X X	0.7%	Х
								x x
Latino	1.8%	x	0.1%	х	1.4%	х	0.7%	
Latino Native American	1.8% 0.2%	x x	0.1% 0.1%	x x	1.4% 0.1%	x x	0.7% 0.1%	х
Latino Native American Other	1.8% 0.2% 0.6%	x x x	0.1% 0.1% 0.1%	x x x	1.4% 0.1% 0.5%	x x x	0.7% 0.1% 0.5%	x x
Latino Native American Other Total Women	1.8% 0.2% 0.6%	x x x	0.1% 0.1% 0.1%	x x x	1.4% 0.1% 0.5%	x x x	0.7% 0.1% 0.5%	x x
Latino Native American Other Total Women 1999-2000	1.8% 0.2% 0.6% x	x x x x	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 7.2%	x x x x	1.4% 0.1% 0.5% x	x x x x	0.7% 0.1% 0.5% 49.3%	x x x
Latino Native American Other Total Women 1999-2000 White	1.8% 0.2% 0.6% x	x x x x	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 7.2%	x x x x	1.4% 0.1% 0.5% x	x x x x	0.7% 0.1% 0.5% 49.3%	x x x
Latino Native American Other Total Women 1999-2000 White African-American	1.8% 0.2% 0.6% x 74.2% 15.2% 3.0% x	x x x x	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 7.2% 6.0% 1.4% 0.2% 7.6%	x x x x	1.4% 0.1% 0.5% x 39.1% 5.5% 2.6% x	x x x x	0.7% 0.1% 0.5% 49.3% 43.8% 7.5%	x x x

	Colle	ege Ass	istant (	Coache	s: Divis	ion II		
		Men's	Sports			Women	's Sports	
	М	en		men	М	en		men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09						-	-	
				Data Not	Recorded			
2007-08								
White	72.8%	Х	6.2%	х	39.9%	х	41.3%	х
African-American	13.5%	х	0.7%	х	6.2%	х	4.1%	х
Asian	0.3%	Х	0.1%	х	0.8%	х	0.7%	х
Latino	3.6%	х	0.6%	х	2.8%	х	2.0%	х
Native American	0.1%	х	0.2%	х	0.1%	х	0.2%	х
Other	0.7%	Х	0.1%	х	0.4%	х	0.6%	х
Total	91.0%	Х	7.9%	х	50.2%	х	48.9%	х
2006-07								
				Data Not	Recorded			
2005-06								
White	75.2%	х	7.5%	х	39.2%	х	43.2%	х
African-American	11.0%	х	0.6%	х	4.8%	х	4.6%	х
Asian	0.6%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.8%	х
Latino	3.8%	х	0.2%	х	2.8%	х	1.9%	х
Native American	0.3%	х	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	0.0%	х
Other	0.7%	Х	0.1%	х	1.0%	х	0.9%	х
Total	91.6%	Х	8.4%	х	48.6%	х	51.4%	х
2004-05						-	-	
				Data Not	Recorded			
2003-04								
White	76.4%	1889	6.1%	152	39.9%	748	43.4%	814
African-American	11.0%	273	0.5%	12	4.3%	81	3.8%	71
Asian	0.8%	19	0.0%	0	1.2%	23	0.5%	9
Latino	2.9%	72	0.7%	14	2.9%	55	1.8%	34
Native American	0.3%	7	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%	2
Other	1.3%	31	0.1%	3	1.4%	26	0.6%	12
Total	92.7%	2291	7.4%	182	49.8%	935	50.2%	942
2001-03				D . N .	<u> </u>			
0000 04	_	_	_	Data Not	Recorded	_	_	_
2000-01	70.60/	l .,	6.20/	l .,	44 50/	I	45.00/	
White	79.6% 9.6%	X	6.3%	X	41.5% 4.6%	X	45.2% 3.1%	X
African-American Asian	9.6%	X	0.3% 0.0%	X	4.6% 1.1%	X	3.1% 0.6%	X
Asian Latino	2.3%	X	0.0%	X	1.1%	X	0.6%	X
Native American	2.3% 0.1%	x x	0.0%	X X	0.1%	X X	0.7%	X X
Native American Other	0.1%	X X	0.0%	x	0.1%	X	0.0%	X
Total Women	0.8 % X	X X	6.6%	X	0.7 % X	X	50.5%	X
1999-2000	^		3.570				33.070	^
White	78.4%	х	5.4%	х	42.3%	х	44.2%	х
African-American	9.8%	x	0.5%	X	3.6%	x	3.3%	x
Other	5.5%	x	0.6%	x	4.3%	x	2.4%	x
Total Women	X	X	6.7%	x	X	x	49.5%	x
Note: Data provided b								
x=Data not recorded.							ТА	BLE 20
A—Data Hot recorded.								<del>7</del>

			Sports		s: Divisi		's Sports	
	Me	en		men	M	en		men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09			•					
				Data Not	Recorded			
2007-08								
White	80.3%	х	7.8%	х	43.0%	х	45.8%	х
African-American	7.9%	х	0.4%	х	4.2%	х	2.2%	х
Asian	0.4%	х	0.1%	х	0.6%	х	0.4%	х
Latino	1.4%	х	0.1%	х	1.1%	х	0.5%	х
Native American	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
Other	1.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.9%	х	0.6%	х
Total	91.0%	х	8.4%	х	49.8%	х	49.5%	х
2006-07	•		•				•	
				Data Not	Recorded			
2005-06								
White	79.9%	х	8.6%	х	40.6%	х	49.9%	х
African-American	7.3%	х	1.0%	Х	3.8%	х	2.7%	Х
Asian	0.5%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.6%	х	0.4%	Х
Latino	1.8%	х	0.2%	Х	0.8%	х	0.6%	Х
Native American	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.0%	х	0.1%	Х
Other	0.5%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.2%	х	0.3%	Х
Total	90.0%	Х	10.0%	Х	46.0%	Х	54.0%	Х
2004-05				Dete Net	December			
2003-04				Data Not	Recorded			
White	81.1%	4124	7.8%	393	41.6%	1737	49.2%	2054
African-American	7.8%	398	0.7%	38	4.3%	1737	2.3%	97
Asian	0.5%	27	0.0%	1	0.6%	24	0.4%	15
Latino	1.4%	73	0.1%	5	0.8%	32	0.4%	16
Native American	0.1%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	4
Other	0.5%	24	0.0%	1	0.3%	14	0.2%	8
Total	91.4%	4649	8.6%	438	47.6%	1985	52.5%	219
2001-03			l					
				Data Not	Recorded			
2000-01								
White	82.4%	х	7.1%	х	43.5%	х	46.6%	х
African-American	7.2%	х	0.8%	х	4.7%	х	2.7%	х
Asian	0.5%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.3%	х
Latino	1.6%	х	0.0%	х	0.7%	х	0.4%	х
Native American	0.1%	х	0.0%	Х	0.1%	х	0.1%	Х
Other	0.3%	х	0.0%	Х	0.3%	Х	0.1%	Х
Total Women	Х	Х	7.9%	Х	Х	Х	50.2%	Х
1999-2000	04.007		7 404		40.007		47.00/	
White	81.6%	Х	7.1%	Х	42.8%	Х	47.3%	Х
African-American	8.0%	X	0.5%	X	4.9%	X	2.4%	X
Other	2.7%	Х	0.1%	Х	1.7%	Х	1.0%	Х
Total Women	х	х	7.7%	Х	х	Х	50.7%	Х

		Bask	etball			Foo	tball			Bas	eball	ball	
	M	en	Wo	men	Me	en	Wo	men	M	en	Wo	men	
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
008-09						D. C. N.	D						
2007-08						Data Not	Recorded						
White	58.8%	х	0.0%	х	72.5%	x	0.3%	х	93.0%	х	1.0%	×	
African-American	39.3%	×	0.0%	x	23.8%	X	0.5%	x	1.0%	×	0.0%	×	
American Asian	0.3%	×	0.0%	x	0.0%	X	0.0%	x	0.4%	×	0.0%	×	
Latino	1.3%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	4.2%	x	0.0%	x	
Native-American	0.0%	×	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.3%	x	0.0%	×	
Other	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.4%	x	0.0%	x	
Total	99.7%	x	0.0%	x	96.3%	x	0.3%	x	99.3%	x	1.0%	x	
2006-07	33.1 70	^	0.070		30.570	^	0.070		33.576	^	1.070	- ^	
						Data Not	Recorded						
2005-06													
White	62.4%	х	0.1%	х	73.4%	х	1.4%	х	92.7%	х	0.7%	х	
African-American	35.7%	х	0.1%	х	22.9%	х	0.3%	х	1.6%	х	0.0%	х	
Asian	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.1%	х	0.7%	х	0.0%	х	
Latino	1.2%	х	0.0%	х	0.8%	х	0.0%	х	3.3%	х	0.0%	х	
Native-American	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	
Other	0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.6%	x	0.0%	х	0.9%	х	0.0%	х	
Total	99.7%	х	0.2%	х	98.3%	х	1.8%	х	99.2%	х	0.7%	х	
2004-05									•				
						Data Not	Recorded						
2003-04													
White	62.4%	497	0.0%	0	73.5%	1155	0.0%	0	96.1%	481	0.0%	0	
African-American	36.4%	290	0.0%	0	24.4%	383	0.0%	0	0.6%	3	0.0%	0	
Asian	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.7%	11	0.0%	0	0.4%	2	0.0%	0	
Latino	0.8%	6	0.0%	0	0.6%	10	0.0%	0	2.8%	14	0.0%	0	
Native-American	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.3%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Other	0.4%	3	0.0%	0	0.6%	9	0.0%	0	0.2%	1	0.0%	0	
Total	100.0%	796	0.0%	0	100.0%	1572	0.0%	0	100.0%	501	0.0%	0	
2001-03						D . N.							
2000-01		_	_	_	_	Data Not	Recorded	_	_	_			
White	CE 00/	.,	0.20/	.,	74.60/	.,	0.50/		05.70/		0.20/		
wnite African-American	65.0% 33.4%	X	0.2% 0.0%	X	74.6% 22.7%	X	0.5% 0.0%	X	95.7% 1.0%	X	0.2% 0.0%	X	
	0.0%	X		X	0.4%	X		X		X		X	
Asian	1.2%	X	0.0% 0.0%	X	1.1%	X	0.0% 0.0%	X	1.0% 1.8%	X	0.0% 0.2%	X	
Latino		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Native-American Other	0.0% 0.1%	X	0.0% 0.0%	X	0.4% 0.4%	X	0.0% 0.0%	X	0.0% 0.2%	×	0.0% 0.0%	X	
Otner Total Women	0.1% X	x x	0.0%	X X	0.4% X	x x	0.5%	x x	0.2% X	x x	0.0%	x x	
10tal Women 1999-2000	^		0.2 /0			^	0.576				U. <del>+</del> /0	<u> </u>	
999-2000 White	63.8%	V	1.4%	v	77.3%	V	0.1%	V	94.8%	V	0.4%	v	
wnite African-American	32.9%	X X	0.2%	X	77.3% 20.4%	X	0.1%	X	1.0%	x x	0.4%	×	
Arrican-American Other	32.9% 1.6%		0.2%	X	20.4%	X	0.0%	X	3.8%	X X	0.6%	X	
Total Women	1.6% X	X X	1.6%	X X	2.2% X	x x	0.0%	x x	3.6% X	X X	1.0%	x x	
	Α.	. X	1 (17/0	. X			U 170	- X	. X	. X	1.070	X	

		Bask	etball			Foo	tball			Bas	eball	
		en		men	Me		Wo			en		men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09						Data Not	Recorded					
2007-08		_	_		_	Data NOt	recorded		_		_	
White	68.2%	х	0.5%	х	80.1%	х	0.1%	x	92.7%	х	0.0%	х
African-American	28.1%	х	0.0%	х	17.6%	х	0.0%	x	1.4%	х	0.0%	х
Asian	0.6%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.3%	х	0.0%	х
Latino	1.9%	х	0.0%	x	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	4.3%	х	0.0%	х
Native-American	0.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.2%	х	0.0%	х
Other	0.7%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.6%	х	0.0%	х
Total	99.6%	х	0.5%	х	97.7%	х	0.1%	x	99.5%	х	0.0%	х
2006-07									•		•	
						Data Not	Recorded					
2005-06												
White	72.1%	х	0.6%	х	79.5%	х	1.3%	Х	93.3%	x	0.4%	х
African-American	25.0%	х	0.2%	х	16.6%	х	0.3%	x	2.0%	х	0.0%	х
Asian	0.2%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.4%	Х	0.0%	X	0.4%	Х	0.0%	Х
Latino	1.6%	Х	0.0%	Х	1.3%	Х	0.0%	X	3.5%	Х	0.0%	Х
Native-American	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.2%	Х	0.0%	X	0.1%	Х	0.0%	Х
Other	0.3%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.4%	Х	0.0%	X	0.3%	Х	0.0%	Х
Total	98.3%	Х	0.8%	Х	98.4%	Х	1.6%	Х	99.6%	Х	0.4%	Х
2004-05						D . M.						
2002.04		_	_	_	_	Data Not	Recorded	_	_	_	_	_
2003-04	70.40/	4050	0.00/	_	80.9%	2956	0.00/	0	94.7%	1005	0.00/	
White African-American	72.1% 26.2%	1356 493	0.2% 0.0%	3 0	17.0%	2956 621	0.0% 0.0%	0	1.5%	1285 20	0.0% 0.0%	0
Arrican-American Asian	0.2%	493	0.0%	0	0.6%	21	0.0%	0	0.5%	20 7	0.0%	0
Latino	1.0%	19	0.0%	0	0.8%	31	0.0%	0	3.0%	41	0.0%	0
Native-American	0.1%	19	0.0%	0	0.8%	8	0.0%	0	0.1%	2	0.0%	0
Other	0.1%	7	0.0%	0	0.5%	18	0.0%	0	0.1%	2	0.0%	0
Total	99.8%	1879	0.0%	3	100.0%	3655	0.0%	0	100.0%	1357	0.0%	0
2001-03	33.070	1073	0.270		100.078	3033	0.070	0	100.070	1007	0.070	U
						Data Not	Recorded					
2000-01												
White	72.5%	х	0.6%	х	81.8%	х	0.4%	х	95.3%	х	0.1%	х
African-American	24.5%	х	0.1%	х	15.7%	х	0.0%	x	1.2%	x	0.0%	х
Asian	0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	0.0%	x	0.9%	x	0.0%	х
Latino	1.6%	х	0.0%	x	1.1%	х	0.0%	x	2.1%	x	0.1%	х
Native-American	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.3%	х	0.0%	x	0.2%	x	0.0%	х
Other	0.3%	х	0.0%	x	0.3%	х	0.0%	x	0.2%	x	0.0%	х
Total Women	x	х	0.7%	x	х	х	0.4%	x	х	х	0.2%	х
1999-2000												
White	72.1%	х	1.0%	х	82.7%	х	0.1%	x	93.6%	х	0.4%	х
African-American	24.3%	х	0.2%	х	14.9%	х	0.1%	x	1.5%	х	0.0%	х
Other	2.4%	х	0.1%	х	2.3%	х	0.0%	x	4.5%	х	0.0%	х
Total Women	х	х	1.3%	х	Х	х	0.2%	Х	х	Х	0.4%	х

		Bask	etball		Cro	ss Cou	ntry/ Tr	ack	P	II Othe	er Sport	s
	M	en	Wor	men	M	en	Woi	nen	M	en	Wo	men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09												
						Data Not	Recorded	l				
2007-08			, ,						, ,			
White	21.3%	х	42.7%	х	53.6%	Х	19.5%	Х	38.9%	Х	49.3%	Х
African-American	8.2%	х	24.8%	Х	13.5%	Х	6.8%	Х	0.9%	Х	0.8%	Х
Asian	0.1%	Х	0.7%	Х	0.5%	Х	0.5%	Х	2.1%	Х	0.8%	Х
Latino	0.4%	х	0.8%	Х	2.0%	Х	0.7%	Х	2.1%	Х	1.1%	Х
Native American	0.2%	х	0.0%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.2%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.1%	Х
Other	0.2%	х	0.2%	Х	1.8%	Х	0.4%	Х	2.1%	Х	1.2%	Х
Total	30.4%	Х	69.2%	Х	71.5%	Х	28.1%	Х	46.1%	Х	53.3%	Х
2006-07						D . N .	D 1					
2005.06						∪ata Not	Recorded					
2005-06	23.3%	V	42.9%	V	l ,	V		V		V		.,
White	23.3% 7.6%	X	42.9% 24.2%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
African-American	0.5%	X	0.5%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Asian Latino	0.5%	X	0.3%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	0.5%	X	0.5%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X X	X	X
Native American Other	0.0%	X X	0.0%	x x	X	X X	X	x x	x x	X	X	X X
Total	32.1%	X	68.1%	X	x x	X	X X	X	X	X	X X	X
2004-05	32.176	^	00.176	^		^		^	L_^_	^	_ ^	
.004-03						Data Not	Recorded					
2003-04		_	_	_		Data Not	recorded		_		_	
White	22.5%	170	46.0%	347	52.3%	761	20.9%	305	36.5%	841	53.0%	120
African-American	6.4%	48	22.4%	169	12.8%	186	9.0%	131	1.4%	33	1.4%	31
Asian	0.3%	2	0.7%	5	0.5%	7	0.2%	3	1.7%	40	1.6%	37
Latino	0.3%	2	0.5%	4	1.9%	27	0.6%	9	1.2%	27	0.9%	21
Native American	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.4%	6	0.2%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Other	0.4%	3	0.4%	3	0.3%	5	0.8%	12	0.9%	20	1.0%	22
Total	30.0%	226	70.0%	528	68.2%	992	31.8%	463	47.1%	961	57.9%	132
2001-03												
						Data Not	Recorded	ı				
2000-01												
White	22.5%	х	45.7%	х	73.7%	х	20.6%	х	42.3%	х	47.9%	х
African-American	5.1%	x	24.3%	х	22.0%	х	7.4%	х	2.3%	х	1.5%	х
Asian	0.4%	х	0.3%	х	1.0%	x	0.2%	x	0.6%	x	0.2%	х
Latino	0.3%	х	0.4%	х	0.7%	х	0.3%	х	1.2%	х	0.4%	х
Native American	0.1%	х	0.3%	х	0.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.1%	х	0.0%	х
Other	0.0%	Х	0.7%	Х	0.9%	х	0.4%	Х	0.1%	Х	0.1%	х
Total Women	Х	Х	71.7%	Х	х	Х	28.9%	Х	х	Х	50.1%	х
999-2000												
White	20.3%	Х	49.0%	х	52.6%	х	23.0%	х	37.7%	х	55.7%	х
African-American	4.4%	х	23.9%	х	12.3%	х	7.9%	х	1.5%	х	1.3%	х
Other	0.0%	х	2.4%	Х	3.1%	х	1.1%	Х	3.3%	Х	0.6%	х

College Ath	letics D	irector	s: Divis	ion I
	М	en	Wo	men
	%	#	%	#
2008-09				
		Data Not	Recorded	
2007-08				
White	83.8%	Х	6.2%	Х
African-American	6.2%	Х	1.0%	Х
Asian	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х
Latino	1.6%	Х	0.3%	Х
Native American	0.3%	Х	0.3%	Х
Other	0.3%	Х	0.0%	Х
Total	92.2%	Х	7.8%	Х
2006-07		Detail No.	Danas II. I	
2005 00		⊔ata Not	Recorded	
2005-06	0F 00/		7.00/	
White	85.8%	Х	7.3%	Х
African-American	5.0%	X	0.5%	X
Asian	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х
Latino	0.9%	Х	0.0%	Х
Native American	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х
Other	0.5%	Х	0.0%	Х
Total	92.2%	Х	7.8%	Х
2004-05		Data Nat	Dagandad	
2002.04		Data Not	Recorded	
2003-04	88.5%	232	6.5%	17
White	3.4%	9	0.0%	
African-American	0.0%	-	0.0%	0 1
Asian		0		-
Latino	1.2%	3	0.0%	0 1
Native American	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.4% 0.0%	
Other	92.7%	0 243	7.3%	0 19
Total	92.7%	243	7.3%	19
2001-03		Data Not	Recorded	
2000-01		Data NUL	i vecoi ded	
White	88.4%	х	6.9%	х
African-American	2.9%	x	0.0%	x
Asian	0.4%	x	0.0%	×
Latino	1.1%	x	0.0%	x
Native American	0.0%	x	0.4%	×
Other	0.0%	x	0.0%	x
Total	92.8%	, and the second	7.2%	×
1999-2000	52.570		/0	
White	86.9%	х	9.0%	х
African-American	2.4%	×	0.0%	×
Other	1.7%	X	0.0%	X
Total	91.0%	X	9.0%	X
Note: Data provided b				
excluded. x= Data no				BLE 25

College Ath	letics D	irectors	s: Divis	ion II
	М	en	Wo	men
	%	#	%	#
2008-09				
		Data Not	Recorded	
2007-08				
White	78.0%	х	14.0%	х
African-American	3.0%	Х	0.8%	х
Asian	0.0%	х	0.8%	х
Latino	3.0%	Х	0.0%	х
Native American	0.4%	Х	0.0%	х
Other	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х
Total	84.4%	Х	15.6%	Х
2006-07				
2005 22		Data Not	Recorded	
2005-06	74 701		47.00/	
White	74.7%	X	17.6%	X
African-American	3.3%	X	0.5%	X
Asian	0.0%	Х	0.5%	Х
Latino	2.7%	Х	0.0%	Х
Native American	0.5%	X	0.0%	X
Other	0.0%	X	0.0%	X
Total 2004-05	81.3%	Х	18.7%	Х
2004-05		Data Not	Recorded	
2003-04		Data Not	recorded	
White	79.6%	187	14.5%	34
African-American	1.3%	3	0.9%	2
Asian	0.0%	0	0.9%	2
Latino	2.6%	6	0.0%	0
Native American	0.4%	1	0.0%	0
Other	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Total	83.8%	197	16.2%	38
2001-03				
		Data Not	Recorded	
2000-01				
White	82.4%	х	12.9%	х
African-American	1.9%	х	0.5%	х
Asian	0.0%	х	0.5%	х
Latino	1.0%	х	0.5%	x
Native American	0.5%	х	0.0%	x
Other	0.5%	х	0.0%	х
Total	86.3%	х	14.4%	х
1999-2000				
White	79.6%	х	13.7%	х
African-American	1.6%	х	1.2%	х
Other	3.5%	х	0.4%	х
Total	Х	Х	15.3%	Х
Note: Data provided b	y the NCA	A. Historica		
excluded. x= Data no	t recorded		TA	BLE 26

College Athl	etics D	irectors	: Divisi	ion III
	М	en	Wo	men
	%	#	%	#
2008-09				
		Data Not	Recorded	
2007-08				
White	70.8%	х	26.2%	Х
African-American	1.6%	Х	0.2%	Х
Asian	0.2%	Х	0.5%	Х
Latino	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х
Native American	0.2%	Х	0.2%	Х
Other	0.0%	Х	0.0%	Х
Total	72.8%	Х	27.1%	Х
2006-07		Deta Mili	Dees	
2005-06		⊔ata Not	Recorded	
	69.5%	,,	26.69/	,,
White		X	26.6%	X
African-American	1.9%	X	0.0%	X
Asian	0.3%	X	0.3%	X
Latino	0.3%	X	0.0%	X
Native American	0.6%	X	0.3%	X
Other	0.0%	X	0.0%	X
Total 2004-05	72.7%	Х	27.3%	Х
2004-05		Data Not	Recorded	
2003-04		Data NOt	Recorded	
White	68.6%	258	26.9%	101
African-American	3.2%	12	0.0%	0
Arrican	0.3%	1	0.3%	1
Latino	0.5%	2	0.0%	0
Native American	0.3%	1	0.0%	0
Other	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Total	72.9%	274	27.1%	102
2001-03				
		Data Not	Recorded	
2000-01				
White	69.3%	х	23.9%	х
African-American	4.5%	х	0.6%	х
Asian	0.3%	х	0.6%	х
Latino	0.3%	х	0.3%	х
Native American	0.3%	х	0.0%	х
Other	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
Total	74.7%	х	25.4%	х
1999-2000				
White	71.3%	х	24.3%	х
African-American	3.0%	х	0.5%	х
Other	0.7%	х	0.2%	х
Total	Х	Х	25.0%	Х
Note: Data provided b	y the NCA	A. Historica	ally Black Ir	nstitutions
excluded. x= Data no	t recorded		TAI	<b>BLE 27</b>

		Divid	sion I			Divi	sion II			Divie	ion III	
	M	en		men	М	en		men	M			men
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09			-		•							
2007.00							Recorded					
2007-08 White	63.6%	х	25.6%	х	53.2%	x	letic Dire	x	46.4%	х	50.0%	х
African-American	6.2%	X	1.0%	X	4.3%	X	3.2%	X	2.4%	X	0.8%	X
Arrican-American Asian	0.2%	X	0.4%	×	0.5%	x	0.5%	x	0.0%	X	0.0%	×
Latino	1.4%	x	0.8%	x	1.1%	X	0.5%	x	0.4%	X	0.0%	X
Native American	0.1%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	x
Other	0.1%	х	0.1%	х	0.5%	х	0.5%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	х
Total	71.6%	х	27.9%	х	59.6%	х	40.3%	х	49.2%	х	50.8%	х
					Assis	tant Ath	letic Dire	ctors				
White	65.5%	х	22.7%	х	56.9%	х	34.6%	х	53.9%	х	37.6%	х
African-American	6.1%	х	2.5%	х	2.4%	х	2.4%	х	3.9%	x	1.3%	х
Asian	0.1%	х	0.5%	х	0.9%	х	0.3%	х	0.2%	x	0.0%	х
Latino	1.4%	Х	0.8%	x	0.6%	Х	0.6%	Х	1.9%	Х	0.2%	х
Native American	0.0%	Х	0.0%	x	0.0%	Х	0.3%	Х	0.0%	Х	0.0%	х
Other	0.1%	X	0.0%	X	0.3%	X	0.0%	X	0.6%	X	0.0%	X
Total	73.2%	Х	26.5%	х	61.1%	Х	38.2%	х	60.5%	Х	39.1%	Х
2006-07						Data Not	Recorded					
2005-06							letic Dire	ctors				
White	63.5%	х	26.0%	х	48.5%	х	45.5%	х	46.2%	х	49.1%	х
African-American	6.1%	х	2.1%	х	2.0%	x	2.0%	х	2.4%	x	1.2%	х
Asian	0.1%	х	0.1%	х	1.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.6%	х	0.0%	х
Latino	0.9%	х	0.5%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.6%	x	0.0%	х
Native American	0.1%	х	0.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.0%	х
Other	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	1.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.0%	х
Total	71.6%	х	28.4%	х	51.3%	х	48.7%	х	50.3%	х	49.7%	х
							letic Dire	ctors	T 1			
White	66.3%	Х	22.6%	Х	56.6%	х	33.6%	Х	58.2%	X	32.9%	Х
African-American	5.1%	Х	3.6%	Х	3.3%	х	1.3%	Х	4.6%	Х	2.3%	Х
Asian	0.5%	X	0.2%	X	0.7%	X	0.7%	X	0.3%	X	0.3%	X
Latino	0.9%	X	0.3%	X	2.0%	X	1.3%	X	1.0%	X	0.0%	X
Native American	0.2% 0.2%	X X	0.0% 0.3%	x x	0.0%	X X	0.0% 0.7%	X X	0.0% 0.0%	X X	0.3% 0.0%	X X
Other Total	72.9%	X	27.1%	×	60.8%	X	39.2%	X	64.3%	X	35.7%	×
2004-05	72.570	_^	27.170	_^	00.070	^	33.270	_^	04.570	^	35.7 70	^
						Data Not	Recorded					
2003-04												
White	62.6%	943	27.3%	411	55.2%	185	34.0%	114	51.8%	259	42.6%	213
African-American	6.0%	91	1.9%	29	2.7%	9	2.1%	7	3.4%	17	1.0%	5
Asian	0.3%	5	0.1%	3	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.0%	0	0.2%	1
Latino	0.7%	11	0.5%	8	3.0%	10	1.2%	4	0.4%	2	0.2%	1
Native American	0.0%	0	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Other	0.1% 69.7%	1 1051	0.4%	6 456	0.9%	3 208	0.3%	1 127	0.4%	2 280	0.0% 44.0%	0 220
Total   2001-03	69.7%	1051	30.2%	456	62.1%	208	37.9%	127	56.0%	260	44.0%	220
2001-03						Data Not	Recorded					
2000-01												
White	63.0%	х	27.6%	х	57.8%	х	33.3%	х	49.6%	Х	44.2%	х
African-American	5.3%	x	1.8%	x	3.3%	х	1.6%	x	3.5%	х	1.3%	x
Asian	0.3%	х	0.1%	x	0.5%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
Latino	0.9%	x	0.6%	x	1.7%	х	0.7%	x	0.3%	х	0.3%	х
Native American	0.1%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	х	0.3%	x	1.0%	х	0.2%	х
Other	0.0%	x	0.3%	x	0.5%	х	0.3%	x	0.5%	х	0.0%	x
Total	х	х	30.4%	х	х	х	36.2%	х	х	х	46.0%	×
1999-2000					,							
White	63.7%	x	27.0%	x	59.5%	Х	33.2%	x	52.8%	Х	42.0%	x
African-American	5.9%	х	1.5%	х	1.7%	Х	1.3%	х	2.7%	Х	1.6%	х
Other	1.2%	X	0.8%	Х	2.7%	Х	1.7%	Х	0.2%	Х	0.7%	Х
Total	х	х	29.3%	Х	x	х	36.2%	Х	x	Х	44.3%	х

			S	enior V	Voman	Admin	istrators					
		Divi	sion I			Divi	sion II			Divis	sion III	
	М	en	Wor	men	М	en	Wor	nen	М	en	Wo	men
L	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09						Data Nat	Decembed					
[ 2007-08	_	_	_	_	_	Data Not	Recorded	_	_	_		
2007-08 White	1.0%	×	84.9%	х	1.6%	×	85.3%	х	0.0%	×	96.6%	х
African-American	0.3%	x	10.2%	x	0.8%	x	7.8%	x	0.0%	x	1.9%	x
Asian	0.0%	x	0.3%	x	0.0%	x	0.4%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	х
Latino	0.0%	х	1.6%	х	0.0%	х	2.7%	x	0.0%	х	1.0%	х
Native American	0.0%	х	1.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х
Other	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	0.0%	х	0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
Total	1.3%	х	98.3%	х	2.4%	х	96.6%	х	0.0%	х	100.0%	х
2006-07												
						Data Not	Recorded					
2005-06	4.42		I 04.55. I				I 00 00.		4	1	1 05 =0:	
White	1.4%	X	84.3%	Х	0.6%	X	90.6%	X	1.1%	X	95.7%	Х
African-American Asian	0.5% 0.0%	x	10.2% 0.5%	X	0.0% 0.0%	X	5.3% 0.0%	x	0.0% 0.0%	X	2.2% 0.4%	X
Latino	0.5%	X X	1.4%	x x	0.0%	X X	2.4%	x x	0.0%	X X	0.4%	x x
Native American	0.0%	×	0.5%	x	0.0%	×	0.0%	x	0.0%	×	0.4%	x
Other	0.0%	x	0.9%	x	0.0%	x	1.2%	x	0.0%	x	0.4%	x
Total	2.3%	х	97.7%	х	0.6%	х	99.4%	х	1.1%	х	98.9%	х
2004-05					ı							
						Data Not	Recorded					
2003-04		_				_						
White	0.4%	1	88.5%	224	0.0%	0	92.6%	201	1.2%	4	95.4%	312
African-American	0.0%	0	8.3%	21	0.0%	0	4.6%	10	0.0%	0	2.4%	8
Asian	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.5%	1	0.0%	0	0.3%	1
Latino	0.0%	0	1.6%	4	0.0%	0	2.3%	5	0.0%	0	0.6%	2
Native American	0.0%	0	0.4%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Other Total	0.0% 0.4%	0 1	0.8% 99.6%	2 252	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0% 100.0%	0 217	0.0% 1.2%	0 4	0.0% 98.8%	0 323
10tai   2001-03	0.4%	'	99.0%	232	0.0%	U	100.0%	217	1.270	4	90.0%	323
2007.00						Data Not	Recorded					
2000-01												
White	0.7%	х	89.6%	х	0.5%	х	91.2%	х	1.1%	х	93.7%	х
African-American	0.7%	х	6.3%	х	0.0%	х	5.7%	х	0.0%	х	3.2%	х
Asian	0.0%	х	0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.4%	х
Latino	0.0%	x	1.5%	х	0.0%	x	2.1%	x	0.0%	x	1.4%	х
Native American	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.5%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
Other	0.4%	x	0.4%	х	0.0%	x	0.0%	x	0.0%	х	0.4%	х
Total	1.8%	Х	98.2%	Х	0.5%	Х	99.5%	Х	1.1%	х	99.1%	Х
1999-2000	4.50/		04.00/		4.00/		00.40/		0.004		04.70/	
White	1.5%	X	91.0%	X	1.0%	X	90.4%	X	0.0%	X	94.7%	X
African-American	0.0%	X	6.0%	×	0.0% 1.4%	×	4.3% 2.9%	X	0.0% 0.0%	×	3.3% 2.0%	X
Other	0.4%	Х	1.1%	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х
Total	1.9%	X	98.1%	Х	2.4%	X	97.6%	X	0.0%	X	100.0%	Х

					Fa	culty	Athle	tics R	epres	entati	ves						
			Divis	ion IA			Divi	sion I			Divis	sion II			Divis	ion III	
		Me		Wor		_	en		men	_	en	Wo		M			men
2008-09		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008-09	White	66.4%	81	27.0%	33												
African-	American	4.1%	5	0.0%	0												
	Asian	0.8%	1	0.0%	0												
	Latino	0.8%	1	0.0%	0						Data Not	Recorde	d				
Native	American	0.8%	1	0.0%	0												
	Other	0.0%	0	0.0%	0												
	Total	73.0%	122	27.0%	33												
2007-08	18/le : 4 e	65.3%	04	27.4%	34	67.9%	I	23.8%		70.6%	l	21.8%		61.9%		32.6%	
African-	White American	4.0%	81 5	0.0%	34 0	4.1%	x x	1.0%	x x	2.7%	x x	0.8%	x x	2.7%	x x	0.2%	X X
All Icali-	Asian	1.6%	2	0.0%	0	1.0%	X	0.6%	x	0.8%	x	0.0%	x	0.6%	x	0.2%	×
	Latino	0.8%	1	0.0%	0	0.6%	X	0.0%	X	1.9%	X	0.8%	X	0.8%	X	0.2%	x
Native	American	0.8%	1	0.0%	0	0.3%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
	Other	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.4%	х	0.0%	х
	Total	72.6%	90	27.4%	34	73.9%	Х	25.4%	х	76.0%	х	23.4%	х	66.4%	х	33.2%	х
2006-07																	
2005 00									Data Not	Recorded	d						
2005-06	White					71.2%		21.2%		66 59/		25 79/	v	64.70/	v	20.00/	
African	American					2.2%	X X	0.9%	X X	66.5% 1.7%	x x	25.7% 1.7%	x x	64.7% 2.0%	X X	30.8% 0.3%	X X
All Ican-	American					1.3%	×	0.4%	x	0.6%	×	0.0%	X	0.6%	x	0.3%	X
	Latino	D	Data Not Recorded				X	0.4%	X	2.8%	x	0.6%	x	0.6%	X	0.3%	×
Native	American		Data Not Necorded				х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	x	0.0%	х	0.0%	x
	Other						х	0.0%	х	0.6%	х	0.0%	х	0.6%	х	0.0%	х
	Total					77.4%	х	22.6%	х	72.1%	х	27.9%	х	68.4%	х	31.6%	х
2004-05																	
									Data Not	Recorded	d						
2003-04	White					70.9%	188	21.1%	56	71.9%	164	20.6%	47	68.8%	256	26.9%	100
African-	American					4.2%	11	0.8%	2	1.3%	3	0.4%	1	3.0%	11	0.3%	1
Anican	Asian					1.1%	3	0.0%	0	1.8%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	Latino	D	ata Not	Recorded	ł	0.8%	2	0.0%	0	1.8%	4	1.8%	4	0.5%	2	0.3%	1
Native	American					0.4%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	Other					0.8%	2	0.0%	0	0.4%	1	0.0%	0	0.3%	1	0.0%	0
	Total					78.2%	207	21.9%	58	77.2%	176	22.8%	52	72.6%	270	27.5%	102
2001-03																	
2000-01								Ľ	Data Not	Recorded	d						
2000-01	White					73.2%	х	18.1%	х	77.9%	х	17.3%	х	67.0%	х	27.8%	х
African-	American					5.1%	X	1.1%	x	1.0%	x	0.5%	x	3.1%	x	0.6%	x
	Asian					1.1%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.0%	х	0.3%	х	0.0%	х
	Latino	D	ata Not	Recorded	ł	0.4%	х	0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.9%	х	0.3%	х
Native	American					0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.5%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
	Other					0.4%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х	0.0%	х
	Total					х	х	19.6%	х	х	х	18.3%	х	х	х	28.7%	х
1999-2000	0																
	White					75.6%	х	18.1%	х	78.0%	х	15.5%	х	67.9%	х	27.8%	х
African-	American	D	ata Not	Recorded	ł	3.1%	х	1.4%	х	1.2%	х	0.4%	х	1.8%	х	0.3%	х
		Data Not Recorded			1.7%	Х	0.0%		3.3%	v	1.6%		2.3%		- 0.00/	Х	
	Other Total					1.776 X	X	0.0 % X	x x	3.3 / <sub>0</sub>	x x	17.6%	x x	2.3 /6 X	x x	0.0% 28.1%	×

**TABLE 31** 

	Sports Information Director														
	Division I Division II Division III														
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women									
2008-09															
			Data Not	Recorded											
2007-08															
White	84.8%	10.2%	83.4%	10.0%	81.2%	13.8%									
Black	0.3%	0.6%	2.7%	0.8%	1.9%	0.5%									
Asian	1.7%	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%									
Hispanic	1.9%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.5%									
Native American	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
Other Minority	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									

Note: Data provided by the NCAA. Historically Black Institutions excluded

x= Data not recorded

	Divis	sion I	Divis	ion II	Divis	ion III	All Div	/isions
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
2008-09								
				Data Not I	Recorded			
2007-08								
White	56.7%	29.7%	59.4%	29.2%	56.2%	36.6%	56.9%	31.2%
African-American	5.3%	3.4%	4.2%	2.1%	3.2%	1.0%	4.7%	2.7%
Asian	0.8%	0.6%	1.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%
Latino	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%	0.7%	1.1%	0.6%	1.5%	0.9%
Native American	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Total	64.7%	34.9%	66.9%	32.7%	61.0%	38.8%	64.1%	35.4%
2004-07								
				Data Not I	Recorded			
2003-04								
White	60.40%	27.50%	55.20%	34.00%	51.80%	42.60%	61.80%	27.60%
African-American	5.90%	2.30%	2.70%	2.30%	3.40%	1.00%	5.10%	1.80%
Asian	0.60%	0.30%	х	х	х	х	0.60%	0.30%
Latino	1.10%	0.80%	х	х	х	х	1.20%	0.70%
Native American	0.04%	0.01%	х	х	х	х	0.10%	0.10%
Other	0.40%	0.60%	4.20%	1.50%	1.10%	0.90%	0.40%	0.30%
Total	68.44%	31.51%	62.10%	37.80%	56.30%	44.50%	69.20%	30.80%
2000-01								
White	63.0%	27.6%	57.8%	33.3%	49.6%	44.2%	61.1%	28.0%
African-American	5.3%	1.8%	3.3%	1.6%	3.5%	1.3%	5.0%	1.9%
Other	1.5%	0.8%	2.8%	1.3%	1.0%	0.5%	2.4%	1.6%
Total	69.8%	30.2%	63.9%	36.2%	54.1%	46.0%	68.5%	31.5%
1999-2000								
White	62.6%	24.8%	59.5%	33.2%	52.8%	42.0%	64.3%	24.5%
African-American	6.2%	2.8%	1.7%	1.3%	2.7%	1.6%	5.2%	2.1%
Other	2.3%	1.3%	2.7%	1.7%	0.2%	0.7%	2.5%	1.3%
Total	71.1%	28.9%	63.9%	36.2%	55.7%	44.3%	72.0%	27.9%

College Professional Administration by Position												
	Division I											
	White		Black		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other Minority	
2008-09	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
2000-03	Data Not Recorded											
2007-08	00.004	40.404				0.00/				0.007	2.00/	0.50/
Academic Advisor/Counselor	23.8%	49.1%	11.5%	10.0%	0.2%	0.8%	1.3%	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%
Business Mgr.	39.3%	48.2%	2.7%	3.6%	0.5%	1.1%	1.6%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Compliance Coordinator/Officer	39.5%	45.6%	4.2%	6.1%	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Equipment Mgr.	75.3%	9.9%	6.3%	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%	5.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Fundraiser/Development Mgr.	59.0%	31.2%	5.1%	1.8%	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Facility Mgr.	74.4%	10.4%	7.2%	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%	3.7%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Life Skills Coordinator	15.9%	56.1%	9.6%	13.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%
Promotions/Marketing Mgr.	56.0%	30.8%	4.2%	2.6%	0.7%	0.9%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Sports Information director	84.8%	10.2%	0.3%	0.6%	1.7%	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Asst. or Assoc. SID	71.1%	22.1%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%
Strength Coaches	72.6%	11.4%	10.6%	1.1%	0.9%	0.2%	1.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Ticket Manager 2006-07	56.7%	37.7%	1.8%	1.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
	Data Not Recorded											
2005-06 Academic Advisor/Counselor	24.5%	49.1%	13.5%	10.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Academic Advisor/oddiseror	24.070	40.170	10.070	10.070	0.070	0.170	1.270	1.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070
Business Mgr.	42.8%	48.3%	1.0%	2.1%	0.3%	0.7%	1.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%
Compliance Coordinator/Officer	43.5%	42.3%	7.9%	4.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Equipment Mgr.	75.4%	9.9%	7.0%	1.6%	1.9%	0.0%	2.4%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Fundraiser/Development Mgr.	64.6%	26.5%	4.5%	1.1%	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%
Facility Mgr.	79.2%	11.6%	4.1%	1.6%	1.9%	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Life Skills Coordinator	17.8%	57.2%	10.6%	9.6%	0.5%	0.0%	1.4%	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Promotions/Marketing Mgr.	59.5%	32.3%	2.9%	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Sports Information director	88.4%	9.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asst. or Assoc. SID	69.7%	24.4%	1.5%	1.1%	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Strength Coaches	75.2%	9.9%	10.3%	1.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
Ticket Manager	52.4%	38.8%	3.3%	1.6%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Note: Data provided by the NCAA. Hi	storically	Black Instit	utions exc	luded							TAI	BLE 33

###